## **STATE OF MICHIGAN** MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

Reg. No.: 2013-24280

Issue No.:

2026

Case No.:

County:

Hearing Date: May 15, 2013 Wayne (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.5 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a elephone hearing was held on May 15, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants or behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor.					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $oxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:					
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	<ul><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li><li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?</li><li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?</li></ul>				
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:</li> </ol>					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA)	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)				

2.	On January 1, 2013, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to Claimant's deductible not being met.
3.	On December 1, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On December 19, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

An individual or MA group whose income is in excess of the monthly protected income level is ineligible to receive MA. However, an MA group may become eligible for assistance under the deductible program. A deductible is a process which allows a client with excess income to be eligible for MA, if sufficient allowable medical expenses are incurred. Each calendar month is a separate deductible period. The fiscal group's monthly excess income is called the deductible amount. Meeting a deductible means reporting and verifying allowable medical expenses that equal or exceed the deductible amount for the calendar month. The MA group must report expenses by the last day of the third month following the month it wants medical coverage. BEM 545; 42 CFR 435.831

The monthly protected income level for a Medical Assistance group of one living in Wayne County is \$375.00 per month. RFT 200, 240 In determining net income a standard deduction of \$20.00 is deducted for SSI-related Medical Assistance recipients.

In the present case, Claimant acknowledged that his monthly income, including RSDI and pension, exceeded the monthly protected income level of \$375.00 by approximately \$917.00 per month. Claimant is consequently ineligible to receive MA. However under the deducible program, if the Claimant incurs medical expenses in excess of \$917.00 during the month he may then be eligible for MA. Claimant also acknowledged that he had not submitted medical receipts to the Department in the three months prior to the Notice of Case Action of December 1, 2012. Based on these facts, it is concluded that the Department was correct in closing Claimant's MA case.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department					
☐ properly denied Claimant's application ☐ ☐ properly closed Claimant's case ☐	improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case				
for:	CDC.				
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the floor the record and for the reasons stated on the record did not act proper ☐ did not act proper ☐	ord, finds that the Department				
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
	Susa C. Bruke				
Date Signed: May 30, 2013	Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services				

Date Mailed: June 3, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2013-24280/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SCB/tm

