## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEFARTMENT OF HOL	VIAN SERVICES	
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-24260 2012 May 20, 2013 Wayne (35)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	r	
HEARING DE	CISION	
	st for a hearing. from Detroit, Michig her Authorized Rep	After due notice, a gan. Participants on resentative, epartment of Human
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Did the Department properly X deny Claimant for:	's application   cl	ose Claimant's case
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?  X Medical Assistance (MA)?	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF	FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	-	rial, and substantial
1. X applied for benefits  received benefits for	or:	
<ul><li>Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li></ul>	Adult Medical As	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).

☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

X Medical Assistance (MA).

- 2. The Department failed to act on Claimant's application.
- 3. On September 8, 2011, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's failure to act on her MA application.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

X The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. On January 8, 2013, the Department recognized that it failed to act on Claimant's application, and went ahead and reregistered and approved the application. However, neither Claimant nor her Authorized Representative received a Notice of Case Action approving the MA application and were unaware of the terms of the approval until the administrative hearing of May 20, 2013.

There is no Notice of Case Action in the record. The Department testified it was issued in February, 2013, and contains at least one month, May, 2010, in which a Patient Pay Amount or deductible is required.

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to perform three tasks: determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. In this case it is found and concluded that the Department failed to protect Claimant's rights when it failed to issue a Notice of Case Action in a timely fashion. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (2013).

Because the Department issued a Notice of Case Action in February, 2013, and the Claimant and her Representative did not receive it then, Claimant has been deprived or the opportunity to challenge the terms of approval stated in the Notice. The Notice requires that Claimants make their hearing request protesting the Department's action within ninety days of the date of the Notice of Case Action, not the date it was mailed.

It is therefore necessary for the Department to issue a new Notice of Action stating a new mailing date and stating the terms of the approval of MA and retroactive MA benefits. The Department shall be reversed and an appropriate order entered.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> </ul>		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department    ightharpoonup did act properly.    ightharpoonup X did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP X MA SDA CDC decision is X REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
X THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:		
<ol> <li>Issue a Notice of Case Action with a new mailing date, announcing to Claimant and her Authorized Representative the terms of the approval of MA and retroactive MA benefits, and permitting Claimant the standard 90-day period in which to appeal the decision.</li> </ol>		
2. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.		
Jan Coentr		
Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services		
Date Signed: June 5, 2013		
Date Mailed: June 6, 2013		
<b>NOTICE</b> : Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of		

reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## 2013-24260/JL

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/tm

