STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-24174 2012 May 13, 2013 Wayne (15)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke				
HEARING DECISION				

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 13, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative, Inc. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ receive	ant 🗵 applied for benefits 🗌 received benefits for:	
	☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☒ Medical Assistance (MA).	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)	

2. On December 27, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action in not processing Claimant's MA application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

BAM 105, p. 1, instructs:

An application **or** filing form, whether faxed, mailed or received from the internet must be registered with the receipt date, **if** it contains at least the following information:

- Name of the applicant.
- Birth date of the applicant (not required for FAP).
- Address of the applicant (unless homeless).
- Signature of the applicant/authorized representative.

In the present case, Claimant's Authorized Representative filed a Filing Form on September 28, 2013 by fax. (Exhibit 1, pp. 2-11) The Filing Form contained the name, birth date, and address of Claimant and the signature of the applicant/authorized representative. In addition, an attached letter indicated that Claimant was seeking retroactive coverage to June of 2012. The Department was therefore not correct in not registering the application with the effective date of September 28, 2013, as the necessary information was included in the Filing Form and correspondence. BAM 105

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department improperly failed to process Claimant's application for MA.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN PROCESSING THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement and reprocessing of Claimant's MA application and retroactive application of September 28, 2012.
- 2. Issue a written notice to Claimant and Claimant's Authorized Representative of the Department's determination of Claimant's MA eligibility.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
r Maura Corrigon Director

for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Jusa C. Buch

Date Signed: May 30, 2013

Date Mailed: May 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2013-24174/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/tm

