

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201323711
Issue No.: 1038
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: 04/03/2013
County: Wayne County (#41)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHELLE HOWIE

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday April 3, 2013. The Claimant appeared and testified. Participant on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) was [REDACTED] (Family Independence Specialist).

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly closed the Claimant's cash assistance program ("FIP") for non-compliance with employment related activities?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant was an ongoing FIP recipient.
2. On December 28, 2012, the Department notice to Claimant of the closure of her FIP benefits effective February 1, 2013.
3. On January 10, 2013, the Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Department of Human Services (DHS) policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate the Claimant's FIP to the effective date of closure (February 1, 2013); and reschedule another triage appointment to determine whether Claimant had good cause for non-compliance with the Work Participation Program.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. The Department shall reinstate the Claimant's FIP case to the effective date of closure (February 1, 2013) and issue a supplement for lost FIP benefits that the Claimant was otherwise eligible and qualified to receive, in accordance with Department policy.
2. The Department shall reschedule a triage a for the Claimant to determine

whether there is good cause for non-compliance with Work Participation Program.

M. Howe

MICHELLE HOWIE
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 4/9/2013

Date Mailed: 4/9/2013

NOTICE: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MH/hw

cc:

