STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-23421 2001 May 9, 2013 Wayne (82-55)				
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane						
HEARING DECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 9, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included.						
ISSU	<u>E</u>					
With respect to the Adult Medical Assistance (AMP) Program, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application? deny Claimant's case?						
FINDINGS OF FACT						
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:						
 Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was a recipient 	of AMP benefits.					
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not living with a s	pouse during the time	e period in question.				
 The total countable income of Claimani relevant to this matter. 	t's household was \$	990.00 at all times				
 The Department denied Claimant's apprexess income. 	olication 🛚 closed Cl	aimant's case due to				
5. On December 17, 2012, Claimant filed a he ☐ denial of the application.	earing request, protes osure of the case.	sting the				

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

At the hearing, Claimant agreed with the Department's figure of \$1,114.00 as to the amount her spouse receives from the Social Security Administration.

The Department began budgeting Claimant's spouse's income after a redetermination was begun on November 13, 2012.

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

The income limit for an individual and spouse is \$425.00. RFT 236 (April 2009).

☐ properly denied Claimant's application.☐ properly closed Claimant's case.	improperly denied Claimant's application.improperly closed Claimant's case.						
DECISION AND ORDER							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.							
Accordingly, the Department's AMP decis reasons stated on the record.	sion is $oxtimes$ AFFIRMED $oxtimes$ REVERSED for the						

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 19, 2013

Date Mailed: June 19, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

