## **STATE OF MICHIGAN** MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM **ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

| IN THE MATTER OF:   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
|   | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County: | 2013-23419<br>2018<br>May 9, 2013<br>Wayne (55)                                 |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Lever   | nter   |   |
| HEARING D   | DECISION   |   |
| This matter is before the undersigned Admini and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requelephone hearing was held on May 9, 2013 behalf of Claimant included the Claimant Participants on behalf of the Department of Eligibility Specialist and Contact Worker. | uest for a hearing.<br>3, from Detroit, Michigand , roo          | After due notice, agan. Participants or mate and caregiver pepartment) included |
| <u>ISSL</u>   | <u>JE</u>  |   |
| Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claim for:   | ant's application ⊠ c  | lose Claimant's case  |
| <ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>  |  | sistance (AMP)?<br>ssistance (SDA)?<br>ent and Care (CDC)?                      |
| FINDINGS (  | OF FACT  |   |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as mater   | -  | rial, and substantia  |
| 1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ receiv   | ed benefits for:   |   |
| <ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☒ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>  | State Disability   | ssistance (AMP).<br>Assistance (SDA).<br>ent and Care (CDC).                    |

|    | On January 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application due to a determination by the U.S. Social Security Administration that she was not abled. |
|----|--|
| 3. | On December 5, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.                               |
| 4. | On December 26, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.                                 |
|    | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW   |
|    | partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).      |

Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. On July 1, 2012, Claimant was awarded Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits by the U.S. Social Security Administration, on a temporary basis pending a determination of disability. Dept. Exh. 1, p. 6.

On December 1, 2012, Social Security found Claimant to be not disabled and terminated her SSI benefits. *Id.*, p. 8. At the Administrative Hearing, Claimant testified she appealed the SSA decision.

At the hearing the undersigned factfinder announced a decision in favor of the Department. However, upon further legal research and consideration of all of the evidence of record, the decision announced at the hearing is deemed incorrect and the following decision is announced and substituted in its place.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 150, "MA for SSI Recipients," requires the Department to continue MA benefits during an SSI appeal when three facts are present. First, the client must have been terminated from SSI because Social Security no longer considers her or him disabled or blind; second, the client must have filed a timely appeal of the SSA decision; and third, the client must be a Michigan resident. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 150 (2013), p. 6.

The next step is to determine whether Claimant has all three factors present in her situation. It is found and determined that Claimant has met the three requirements in

BEM 150. First, an SSA decision that disability existed was reversed and SSA announced that Claimant was no longer disabled. Second, Claimant filed a timely appeal. And third, Claimant is a Michigan resident. Therefore, it is found and determined that the BEM 150 requirements are met and Claimant is entitled to MA benefits during the pendency of her SSA appeal. The Department is reversed.

| Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department  |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>                      |  |  |
| for:  |  |  |
| DECISION AND ORDER  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  did act properly.  did not act properly.                     |  |  |
| Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons herein and those stated on the record. |  |  |
| ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO:   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Reinstate Claimant's MA disability benefits effective January 1, 2013.</li> <li>Provide retroactive and ongoing benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which</li> </ol>  |  |  |

3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 23, 2013

she is entitled.

Date Mailed: May 28, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/tm

