

STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2013-23416
Issue No.: 3002, 3003
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: February 7, 2013
County: DHS-PLAN FIRST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 7, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED].

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits beginning December 1, 2012?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. As of November 2012, the Claimant was receiving FAP benefits.
2. On or around December 1, 2012, the Department closed the Claimant's FAP case.
3. On January 3, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the FAP closure.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. (BAM 600).

The Claimant in this case, used a DHS PL AN FIRST notice of case action hearing request form when she requested the FAP hearing. This resulted in the wrong DHS office receiving and processing the hearing request. Regardless, the issue to be addressed is the FAP issue as this is the issue on the hearing request and the issue the Claimant raised when asked during the hearing.

In this case, the Department was unable to provide any testimony or exhibits relating to how or why the Claimant's FAP case was closed on December 1, 2012. Based upon this fact and for the reasons stated on the record, I find the Department improperly closed the Claimant's FAP case beginning December 1, 2012.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find the Department did not act properly in this matter.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

The Department is ordered to:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits beginning December 1, 2012 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

/s/
Corey A. Arendt
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 8, 2013

Date Mailed: February 8, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

cc:

