## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201322998 3008 February 13, 2013 Wayne (15)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 13, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Residue Re				
ISSUE				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's				

## FINDINGS OF FACT

Adult Medical Program (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☒MA ☐AMP ☐SDA CDC.
- 2. Claimant ⋈ was ⋈ was not provided with a Redetermination (DHS-1010).
- 3. On January 1, 2013, the Department

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

benefits for:

## 201322998/ACE

□ closed Clair     □ reduced Clair     □ reduced Clair	mant's application mant's case aimant's benefits ıbmit redetermination in a tin	nely manner.	
	26, 2012, Claimant filed a hell closure.	earing request, protesting the	
	CONCLUSION	S OF LAW	
	es are found in the Bridges A (BEM) and the Reference Ta	Administrative Manual (BAM), th bles Manual (RFT).	ne Bridges
Responsibility and 42 USC 601, <i>et s</i> Agency) administe	d Work Opportunity Reconcers. The Department (formers FIP pursuant to MCL 4003131. FIP replaced the Ai	was established pursuant to the iliation Act of 1996, Public Lawerly known as the Family Ind 1.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Ruled to Dependent Children (ADC	w 104-193, ependence e 400.3101
orogram] is estal mplemented by t Regulations (CFR	blished by the Food Star the federal regulations cont R). The Department (forme ers FAP pursuant to MCI	ormerly known as the Food Somp Act of 1977, as amender ained in Title 7 of the Code erly known as the Family Ind 400.10, et seq., and 1999	ed, and is of Federal ependence
Security Act and is The Department (	s implemented by Title 42 o	established by the Title XIX of f the Code of Federal Regulati ly Independence Agency) adm and MCL 400.105.	ons (CFR).
	edical Program (AMP) is ne Department pursuant to M	established by 42 USC 13 <sup>2</sup> ICL 400.10, et seq.	15, and is
or disabled perso as the Family Ind	ns is established by 2004 F	gram which provides financial A 344. The Department (form sters the SDA program pursua 51 through Rule 400.3180.	erly known
and XX of the So 1990, and the Pers The program is im and 99. The Dep	ocial Security Act, the Child sonal Responsibility and Wo nplemented by Title 45 of the	program is established by Title Care and Development Blocork Opportunity Reconciliation Are Code of Federal Regulation to adults and children pursuant Rule 400.5015.	ck Grant of act of 1996. s, Parts 98

Additionally, the Department testified that Claimant had failed to return a completed redetermination resulting in a closure of her case. Although the Department testified that only Claimant's MA case was affected, Claimant testified that both her FAP and MA cases were affected. A client must complete a redetermination at least every 12 months in order for the Department to determine the client's continued eligibility for benefits. BAM 210 (November 1, 2012), p 1. A FAP client must also complete a phone interview. BAM 210, p 3. FAP and MA benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210, p 2.

In this case, Claimant acknowledged that she received the redetermination and, although she went to the Department's local office on December 26, 2012, to submit the completed redetermination packet, she became distracted and, rather than submit the redetermination packet, she completed the hearing request. Under these facts, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case for failure to submit a completed redetermination.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department $\square$ properly $\square$ improperly
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>2/14/13</u>

Date Mailed: 2/14/13

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## ACE/hw

cc: