STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201322915

Issue No.: 3009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 14, 2013

County: Wayne (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 14, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant; housing specialist and Claimant's authorized hearing representative (AHR); and housing specialist and Claimant's friend. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included has a service and has a service and hearing representative (AHR); and housing specialist and housing specialist and participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included has a service and hearing.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case based on a criminal justice disqualification?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- On December 31, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action advising him that his FAP case would close effective February 1, 2013, due to a criminal justice disqualification.
- 3. On January 8, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. ☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180. ☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Additionally, people convicted of certain crimes, fugitive felons, and probation or parole violators are not eligible for assistance. BEM 203 (October 1, 2012), p 1. The Department matches benefit recipient data with the Michigan State Police (MSP), which

identifies on a monthly basis clients who are currently fugitive felons and on a daily basis clients who are no longer fugitive felons. BAM 811 (February 1, 2013), p 1; see When a match appears on the Department's system, the also MCL 400.10c. Department is required to send the client a Notice of Case Action informing the client that he has a criminal justice disqualification showing and to go to a local law enforcement agency to resolve the issue. BAM 811, p 1.

In this case, the Department testified that a data match with the MSP on December 27, 2012, identified that Claimant was subject to a criminal justice disqualification. As a result, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action on the December 31, 2012, informing him that his FAP case would close effective February 1, 2013, because he was subject to a criminal justice disqualification and advising him to contact his local law enforcement agency to resolve this issue. At the hearing, the Department presented a February 12, 2013 letter from the Michigan Office of Inspector General (OIG) indicating that Claimant continued to be subject to the disqualification as of February 12, 2013. At the hearing, Claimant testified that he was not aware of any outstanding criminal justice issues, but admitted that he had not contacted any law enforcement agency in response to the Notice of Case Action. He also verified that the birth date and social security number referenced in the OIG's letter were his. Under these facts, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case based on the criminal justice disqualification.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Fin	O .
of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds tha $oxed{oxed}$ did act properly when it closed Claimant's FAP case	•
disqualification.	based on the chillinal justice
☐ did not act properly when .	
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $oxed{\boxtimes}$ AFFIRN reasons stated on the record and above.	MED ☐ REVERSED for the

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 2/15/13

Date Mailed: 2/15/13

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

cc: