# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-22825 Issue No.: 1005, 2006, 3008

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County: Macomb DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on the property of the lambda and t

### **ISSUE**

Whether the Department of Human Services (Department) properly closed the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (MA), and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits for failing to provide the Department with information necessary to determine her continued eligibility to receive benefits?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant was a Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (MA), and Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient.
- 2. On Redetermination (DHS-1010) with a due date of the control of
- 3. On the control of the Department notified the Claimant that it would close her FIP, MA, and FAP benefits for failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits.

4. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on protesting the closure of her FIP, MA, and FAP benefits.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or Department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Reference Table Manual (RFT), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes the completion of necessary forms. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105 (March 1, 2013), p 5. Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (May 1, 2012), p 1. Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level when it is required by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contradictory. BAM 130. The Department uses documents, collateral contacts, or home calls to verify information. BAM 130. A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization, or agency to verify information from the client. BAM 130. When documentation is not available, or clarification is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. BAM 130.

The Claimant was an ongoing Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (MA), and Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient. On September 11, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a Redetermination (DHS-1010) with a due date of October 5, 2012. When the Department did not receive the Claimant's Redetermination

form, and the Claimant did not contact the Department to reschedule her redetermination interview, the Department closed the Claimant's benefits.

The Claimant argued that she did not receive the Redetermination (DHS-1010) form. The Claimant argued that the Redetermination form was not addressed to her correct mailing address. The Claimant testified that she had provided the Department with her updated mailing address shortly after

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant failed to establish that she provided the Department with her current mailing address in a timely manner.

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. Stacey v Sankovich, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case, the Claimant failed to rebut the presumption of receipt.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department established that it properly closed the Claimant's benefits due to her failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly closed the Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (MA), and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits due to her failure to provide the Department with information necessary to determine her eligibility to receive benefits.

The Department's Family Independence Program (FIP), Medical Assistance (MA), and Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility determination are **AFFIRMED**. It is **SO ORDERED**.

	Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed:	
Date Mailed:	

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/kl

CC:

