STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-22793

Issue No.: 1038

Case No.:

Hearing Date: May 30, 2013

County: Gogebic County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge purs uant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing received by the Department of Human Services (Department) on January 7, 2013. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 30, 2013, from Lansing, Mi chigan. Participant's on behalf of the Claimant included Alicia Pedrin. Participant s on behalf of the Department included Family Independence Specialist

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that the Claimant has exc eeded the lif etime limit on Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a Family Independence Program Benefit recipient.
- 2. The Department determined that the Claim ant is not eligible f or FIP benefits after exceeding the lifetime limit on the receipt of state-funded FIP assistance.
- 3. On December 29, 2013, the Department notified the Cla imant that her case would close effective February 1, 2013 when she reached her 48 month state FIP limit.
- 4. On January 7, 2013, claimant filed a requ est for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility for benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is in correct. The Department Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (February 1, 2013). The Department will provide an administrative

hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600. The regulations gover ning the h earing and appeal process for a pplicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Admi nistrative Code (Mich Admin Code), R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because the claim for assi stance is denied. Mich Admin Code, R 400.903(1).

FIP was established pursuant to the Pers onal Respons ibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Publ ic Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to D ependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department polic ies are cont ained in BAM, the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FIP benefit program is not an entitlement. BEM 234 (January 1, 2013). Time limits are essential to establishing the temporary natuer of aid as well as communicating the eFIP philosophy to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. BEM 234. Effective October 1, 2011, BEM 234 restricts the total cumulative months that an individual may receive FIP benefits to a lifetime limit of 48 months for state-funded FIP cases for which no months were exempt.

The 48-month lifetime limit for state-funded FIP cases allows exemption months in which an individual does not receive a count towards the individual's 48-month lifetime limit. BEM 234. Exemption months are months—the individual is def—erred from Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PAT H) for: (i) domestic violence; (ii) being 65 years of age or o lder; (iii) a verified disability of long-term i—ncapacity lasting longer than 90 days; or (iv) a spouse or parent who provides care for a spouse or child wi—th verified disabilities liv ing in the home. BEM 234.

Once an individual reaches a FIP time limit and t he FIP closes, the indiv idual is not eligible for FIP if the individual reapplies and meets an exemption criteria based on the funding source. BEM 234.

In the present case, claimant received 45 m onths of FIP benefits from 2008-2013, along with three months which were sanctioned for failuare to cooperate with Employme and Training for the months of Janaury, February and Marcach 2012. BEM 234, page 4 indicates that sanctioned months are counted for purposes of lifetime limits for state funded FIP benefits.

Testimony and other evidence must be weig hed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter. *People v Wade*, 303 Mich 303 (1942), *cert den*, 318 US 783 (1943).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and finds the Departm ent has met its burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the Claimant has reached or exceeded the lifetime limit of 48 months for state-funded FIP cases.

Accordingly, the Administrative Law Judge finds that, based on the co mpetent, material, and substantial evidence presented during the hearing, the De partment has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and C onclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge c oncludes that the Department properly closed Claimant's FIP case.

Accordingly, the Department's FIP eligibility determination is **AFFIRMED**.

<u>/s/</u>

Landis Y. Lain
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 3, 2013

Date Mailed: June 3, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claim ant may appeal the De cision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Dec ision and Order or, if a time ly request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,

2013-22793/LYL

- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LYL/las

