STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 2013-22397

Issue No: 2006

Case No:

Hearing Date:May 30, 2013 Genesee County DHS #6



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on May 30, 2 013. Claim ant personally appeared and tes tified. The Department of Human Services was represented by Assistance Payments Worker

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application based upon it's determination that claim ant failed to provide verification information in a timely manner?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) Claimant was a MA benefit recipient.
- (2) Claimant's case was scheduled for redetermination in December 2012.
- (3) On November 13, 2012 the department sent claimant a redetermination form with information due by December 13, 2013.
- (4) On December 14, 2012, the verification was not returned.
- (5) On December 14, 2012, the department sent out a DHS-16 05 Notice of Case Action informing the claimant that her case would be cancelled due to failure to return her DHS-1010 redetermination forms.

- (6) On December 21, 2012, claimant f iled a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (7) On January 16, 2013, claimant r eapplied for MA and was approved. The case was opened for January 1, 2013 and claimant had no loss of benefits, because the claimant's certification period expired December 31, 2012.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her clai m for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients h ave the right to contes t a department decision affecting elig ibility or benefit levels whenev er it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Program Administ rative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Relevant policy c an be found at PAM, Item 130, which instructs caseworker to tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it and the due dat e. The client must obtain required verification but the caseworker must assist if the client requests assistance.

Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements.

Obtain verification when:

- Required by policy. BEM items s pecify which factors and under what circumstances verification is required.
- Required as a local office option. The requirement must be applied the same for every client. Local requirements may not be imposed for MA, TMA-Plus or AMP.
- Information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, in consistent, incomplete or contradictory. The questionable information might be from the client or a thir diparty.

Verification is usually required at application/redetermination **and** for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM, Item 130, page 1.

If the individual indicates the existence of a disability that impairs their ability to gather verifications and information necessary to establish eligibility for benefits, offer to assist the individual in the gathering of such information. BEM, Item 130, page 1.

Allow the client 10 cal endar days (or other time limit spec ified in policy) to provide the verification you request. Refer to policy in the is item for citizens hip verifications. If the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, extend the time limit up to three times. BEM, Item 130, page 5.

Upon certification of e ligibility results, Bridges automatically notifies the client in writing of positive and negative actions by generating the appropriate notice of case action. The notice of case action is printed and mailed centrally from the consolidated print center.

There are two types of written notice: adequate and timely.

A notice of case action must specify the following:

- The action(s) being taken by the department.
- The reason(s) for the action.
- The spec ific manual item which cites the legal base for an action or the regulation or law itself.
- An explanation of the right to request a hearing.
- The conditions under which benefits are continued if a hearing is requested.

Adequate Notice

An adequate notice is a written notice sent to the client at the same time an action takes effect (not pended). Adequate notice is given in the following circumstances:

All Programs

- Approval/denial of an application.
- Increase in benefits. BAM, Item 220, pages 1-2

In the instant case, claimant is contesting the denial of her redetermination. Claimant alleges that she did receive the redetermination letter but misplace dit. She contacted the department by phone but did not send in any information as she had no changes in her circumstances. The department has established by the necessary, competent, substantial and material evidence contained in the record that claimant failed or refused to provide verification evidence in this case, that she was sent appropriate notice of negative action or that claimant did not request assistance in collecting and providing verification evidence in accordance with department policy.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law finds that the department has established by a preponder ance of the evidence that claimant failed or refused to provide verification information.

Accordingly, the department's prior decision is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/		
_	Landis	Y. Lain
		Administrative Law Judge
		for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
		Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 4, 2013

Date Mailed: June 4, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2013-22397/LYL

LYL/las

