# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201341314; 201332571

Issue No.: 2021

Case No.: Hearing Date:

June 25, 2013

County: Grand Traverse-00

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request fo r a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on June 25, 2013, fr om Traverse City, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her husband Participants on behalf of the Depart ment of Human Servic es (Department) included and

# <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's MA application due to excess assets?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for Medicaid on April 2, 2013 and November 14, 2012.
- 2. Claimant's application was denied on April 4, 2013 and December 5, 2013 du e to excess assets.
- Claimant and her hus band had in their possession at the time of applic ation a Chevrolet Silverado Truck and a 2004 Jayco Jayflight Camper.
- 4. The Department valued the Camper at \$ using NADA resources.
- 5. Claimant requested hearing on April 4, 2013 an d December 17, 2012 contesting the denials of MA.
- 6. Claimant had 2 hear ing requests that dealt with ess entially the same issue so the files were consolidated with consent of the Claimant and the Department.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996. Public Law 104-193. 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. ☐ The Food Assistance e Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Services (formerly known as the Family Independ The Department of Human Agency) administers the MA pr ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq ., and MC L 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3 151 through R 400.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides servic es to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

# VEHICLE EXCLUSIONS SSI-Related MA Vehicle Exclusion

Exclude one motorized vehicle owned by the asset group. If the asset group owns multiple motorized vehicles:

• Jse the E ployment Asset Exclusions first, then fro any remaining motorized vehicles, exclude the one with the highest equity value. BEM 400

Additionally, Claimant did not dispute having the camper in her possession. Claimant's husband testified at hearing that he paid for the camper 3 years ago. The valuation placed on the camper was made using NADAr esources pursuant to Department policy and was proper and correct. BEM 400 Claimant is well over the asset limit for MA, therefore the denial of 1A for excess assets was proper and correct. Claimant testified at hearing about the hardships she has endured due to her lack of medical coverage this Administrative Law Judge explained that he did not have the authority or the discretion to override Department policy.

# **DECISION AND O RDER**

The Ad ninistrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findin is of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when they denied Claimant's a plication for Medicaid due to excess
assets.
did not act properly when .
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP SAP M SDA CDC decisions A FIRMED REVERSED for the reasons set forth in this decision.
Ammiesti

Aaron McClintic
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Deportment of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>Jun</u> 28, 2013

Date Mailed: Jun 28, 2013

**NOTIC :** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syste n (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. AHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original reques. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for replearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claima it may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- \( \text{rehearing } \frac{\text{MAY}}{\text{be}} \) be granted if there is newly disco /ered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
- failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# AM/pew

