#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013-21287

 Issue No.:
 2026; 3000

 Case No.:
 Image: County 13, 2013

 Hearing Date:
 February 13, 2013

 County:
 Wayne (55)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 13, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

#### ISSUE

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) deductible?

Did the Department properly calculate Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

- Medical Assistance (MA).
- Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
  - State Disability Assistance (SDA).
- Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On November 30, 2012, the Department calculated Claimant's MA deductible and FAP benefit amount, effective January 1, 2013.
- On November 30, 2012, the Department sent
   Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the calculation.
- 4. On December 12, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the MA deductible calculation and the FAP benefit calculation.
- 5. Claimant was in a household of one. Claimant received RSDI benefits in the amount of \$1,242.00 per month.
- 6. Claimant was in a group size of one.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

## MEDICAL ASSISTANCE

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The monthly protected income level for a Medical Assistance group of one living in Wayne County is \$375.00 per month. RFT 200, 240 In determining net income a standard deduction of \$20.00 is deducted for SSI-related Medical Assistance recipients (disabled).

In the present case, claimant's net income (\$1,222.00) exceeds the monthly protected income level (\$375.00) by \$847.00 per month.

Base on the above discussion, it is concluded that the Department was correct in calculating Claimant's deductible.

#### FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The

Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

In the present case, Claimant protested the Department's calculation of FAP benefits in the amount of \$16.00 per month, effective January 1, 2013 and ongoing. However at the hearing, Claimant acknowledged that the Department had issued her \$200.00 for each of the months of January and February, as she had submitted medical expenses to the Department to be included in her FAP calculation for those months. Claimant stated that she no longer wanted a hearing regarding FAP.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly calculated Claimant's MA deductible. In addition, Claimant stated she no longer requested a hearing regarding FAP.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  $\square$  did act properly.  $\square$  did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\boxtimes$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

It is also ORDERED that Claimant's hearing request regarding FAP is DISMISSED, per Claimant's request.

Jusa C. Bruke

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 15, 2013

Date Mailed: February 19, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Reconsideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### SCB/tm

