STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р)			•
	IV			п	_	- 11	117	•					_	•	_



Reg. No.: 2013-21256 Issue Nos.: 2019, 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 7, 2013

County: Wayne (49)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9							
and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a relephone hearing was held on February 7, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager.							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?							
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ received benefits for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)							

2.	On November 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
3.	On October 4, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On December 13, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☒ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
"In bas De cas in i	ditionally, the Department's Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) Item 500 (2012), come Overview," states that the Department is required to use gross income as the sis for determining eligibility and benefit levels for the Department's customers. Epartment of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500 (2012). In this se, it is found and determined that the Department followed its policy and procedure reducing Claimant's FAP benefits and requiring her to pay an MA Patient Pay Amount PA or deductible), based on an increase in her income. The Department is affirmed.
sta	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess come, the Department \square properly \square improperly
	 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC decision s \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Jan lone Da
Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 8, 2013

Date Mailed: February 8, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2013-21256/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

