STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р)			•
	IV			п	_	- 11	11 /	•					_	•	_

	-

Reg. No.: 201321033 Issue No.: 2006; 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 7, 2013

County: Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on February 7, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participan on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist, and Eligibility Specialist.						
ISSL	<u>JE</u>					
Did the Department properly deny Claima for:	ant's application 🗵 close Claimant's case					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS (OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on evidence on the whole record, finds as materi						
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ receive	ed benefits for:					
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☑ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☑ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS). 	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).					

- 2. On December 1, 2012, the Department closed Claimant's FAP case due to failure to submit a completed redetermination. 3. On January 1, 2013, the Department closed Claimant's MA case due to failure to submit a completed redetermination. 4. On January 3, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \bowtie closure of the cases. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS)] program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg., and MCL 400.105. ☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seg.
- ☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.
Additionally, the Department testified that Claimant's FAP and MA cases were closed because she failed to submit a completed redetermination and could not be located. A client must complete a redetermination at least every 12 months in order for the Department to determine the client's continued eligibility for benefits. BAM 210 (November 1, 2012), p 1. A FAP client must also complete a phone interview. BAM 210, p 3. Benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210, p 2.
In this case, the Department testified that it sent Claimant a redetermination concerning her FAP and MA continued eligibility on October 16, 2012 to an address on Braile Street, Claimant's address of record at the time. The redetermination was due on November 1, 2012. The redetermination form sent to Claimant was returned to the Department as undeliverable and no completed redetermination was received by the Department from Claimant by the November 1, 2012 due date, or prior to the December 1, 2012 closure of her FAP case or the January 1, 2013, closure of her MA case. Under these facts, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP and MA cases.
Although Claimant testified that she notified the Department of her change of address, the Department credibly testified that this change was not reported until December 6, 2012, after the FAP case had closed. While the MA case remained open until January 1, 2013, the evidence established that Claimant reapplied for MA benefits in January 2013 and received MA coverage for January 1, 2013, ongoing. Because Claimant received ongoing, uninterrupted MA coverage, she was ultimately not aggrieved with respect to the Department's actions concerning her MA case. See Michigan Administrative Code R 400.903(1).
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☑ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \boxtimes MA \square SDA \square CDC \square DSS decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge

For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 8, 2013

Date Mailed: February 8, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

