STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

THF	M 4 V .	 <u> </u>
1 11 11	$\mathbf{M} \Delta$	

Reg. No.: 2013-20936

Issue No.: 1005

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 13, 2013

County: Wayne (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 13, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Departm ent properly $igtimes$ deny Claiman t's application $igsquare$ close Claimant's case for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC). 			

2.	On December 17, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to failure to attend work first orientation.		
3.	On December 17, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.		
4.	On December 20, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case.		
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.		
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.		
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .		
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through		

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Feder al Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, at the hearing the Departm ent reiterated its reason for denying the Claimant's FIP application as the Claimant's failure to attend a November 27, 201 2 Work First orientation.

At the hearing the Claimant testified that she was so heduled for a meeting with Chil d Protective Services on the same date and t ime as Work First orientation. She testified that she called the Department and sent a facsimile on Nove mber 26, 2012, stating the above.

The Claimant produced a copy of a facsi mile transmission verification report which verifies that the Claimant sent a facsimile to the Department on November 26, 2012.

Although the Department testif ied that it hadn't received a facsimile from the Claima nt the evidence proves that the Claimant did, in fact, send a facsimile to a number that the Department verified as its phone number. Thus, the Claimant is correct in stating that she did correspond with the Department on the day before she was supposed to report for Work First orientation

The corres pondence revealed that the Clai mant had a scheduled meeting with Child Protective Services and that meeting had been scheduled after the Department scheduled the Work First orientation.

Unplanned Event or Factor

Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which likely prevents or signi ficantly interferes w ith employment and/or self-sufficiency-relat ed activ ities. Unplanned events or factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

Domestic violence.

Health or safety risk.

Religion.

Homelessness.

Jail.

Hospitalization. (BEM 233A p. 5; November 26, 2012).

Here, the unplanned event was a prescheduled meeting with Child Protective Services.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

<u> </u>	operly denied Claimant's application operly closed Claimant's case	☐ improperly denied Claimant's application☐ improperly closed Claimant's case			
for: [for:				
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.					
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \square AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.					
	HE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECIS	DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF ON AND ORDER:			
	nitiate the re-registration and proces	sing of the Claimant's No vember 2, 2012 FIP			

Michael J Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 25, 2013

Date Mailed: March 25, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

2013-20936/MJB

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
- failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Re

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

