STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2Issue No.:3Case No.:4Hearing Date:3County:1

2013-20616 3008

January 31, 2013 Macomb-20 County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J anuary 31, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant include description and the Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included to the Participant of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to exc ess assets, did the Departm ent properly \Box deny the Claimant's app lication \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact, based on the compet ent, material, and subs tantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Medical Assistance (MA).
Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
 State Disability Assistance (SDA).

2. At several points in time in 2012, proceeds.

the Claimant's daughters received lottery

- 3. At some point in time, the Claimant's notified the Department about the lottery proceeds.
- 4. On approximately October 19, 2012, t he Department closed the Claimant's FAP case due to the Claimant's having excess assets for the FAP program.
- 5. On January 4, 2013, the Cla imant requested a hearing to protest the October 19, 2012 FAP closure.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1).

Clients have the right to c ontest a department decis ion affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is belie ved that the decision is inco rrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

The FAP (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the F ood Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of t he Code of Federal Regulations (CF R). The Department administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Assets must be cons idered in determining el igibility for FIP, SD A, RAPC, LIF, G2U, G2C, SSI-related MA categories, AMP and FAP. (BEM 400).

Assets means cash, any other personal property and real property. **Real property** is land and objects affixed to the land such as buildings, trees and fences. Condominium s are real property. **Personal property** is any item subject to ownership that is **not** real property (examples: currency, savings accounts and vehicles). (BEM 400).

Determine asset eligibility prospectively using the asset group's as sets from the benefit month. Asset eligibility exists when the group's countable a ssets are less than, or equal to, the applicable asset limit at least one day during the m onth being tested. The FAP asset limit is \$5,000. (BEM 400).

In the present case, the Department failed to provide any testimony or documentation to show what the asset amounts were, how t hey were counted, calc ulated etc. Consequently, I was unable to determine w hether or not the Claimant indeed had excess assets to be eligible for the FAP pr ogram. Therefore, I have no choice but to reverse the Department's actions in this matter.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above F indings of Fact and Conclusions of Law find that the Department did not act in accordance with the applicable laws and policies in closing the Claimant's FAP case.

Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to t he Claimant's eligibility for FAP benefits beginning October 19, 2012 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise qualified and eligible.

<u>/s/</u>

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 1, 2013

Date Mailed: February 1, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical errors, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

