## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MA	ITER OF:			
		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201320252 2006, 3002 February 5, 2013 Wayne #76	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 5, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case due to excess income?				
Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) case for one of her daughters for noncooperation with the Office of Child Support?				
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1.	Claimant applied for benefits for: Independence Program (FIP), Food Assistance Program (FA (SDA), Medical Assistance (MA (CDC).	Adult Medical AP),	Assistance (AMP), Disability Assistance	
2.	On December 11, 2012, the Departm	nent 🔲 denied C	laimant's application	

☑ closed Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case due to excess

income.

3.	On December 11, 2012, the Department closed the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) case for one of her daughters for noncooperation with the Office of Child Support.		
4.	On December 11, 2012, the Department sent ∑ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ∑ closure. ☐ reduction.		
5.	On December 27, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request, protesting the $\square$ denial of the application. $\boxtimes$ closure of the case. $\square$ reduction of benefits.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .			
Responsibilit 42 USC 601 Agency) adr through Rule	rily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ty and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tober 1, 1996.		
program] is implemented Regulations	od Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 et 400.3015.		
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.			

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.			
Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has established that it properly determined the Claimant's monthly-gross-countable income and properly applied this income towards its determination that the Claimant's gross income exceeds the limit to receive Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\boxtimes$ properly $\square$ improperly $\square$ denied Claimant's application $\square$ reduced Claimant's benefits $\boxtimes$ closed Claimant's case for: $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC.			
Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has failed to establish that it properly closed Medical Assistance (MA) benefits for the Claimant's daughter for noncooperation with the Office of Child Support. The Department failed to offer sufficient testimony or documents supporting its determination that the Claimant's daughter has failed to cooperate with the Office of Child Support.			
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department $\square$ properly $\boxtimes$ improperly $\square$ denied Claimant's application $\square$ reduced Claimant's benefits $\boxtimes$ closed Claimant's case for: $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC.			
DECISION AND ORDER			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly $\square$ did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ <b>AFFIRMED</b> $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did act properly $\square$ did not act properly.			
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\square$ MA $\boxtimes$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ <b>REVERSED</b> for the reasons stated on the record.			

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for Medical Assistance (MA) as of January 1, 2013.
- 2. Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.
- 3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.

<u>/s/</u>

Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 6, 2013

Date Mailed: February 6, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/tb

