# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

IN THE N	MATTER OF:		Docket No. 2013-201 Case No.	91 HHS
Ap	ppellant/			
		DECISION AND OF	RDER	
		rsigned Administrative the Appellant's request	<b>.</b>	o MCL 400.9 and
appeared	e notice, a hearing v I on behalf of the App ent. Her witnesses we	ellant whop was presei	nt and testified briefly.	Her witness was represented the
ISSUE				
Did the D	epartment properly de	eny the Appellant's requ	uest for Home Help Se	ervices (HHS)?
FINDING	S OF FACT			
	inistrative Law Judge, nole record, finds as m	based upon the comp naterial fact:	etent, material and sul	ostantial evidence
1.	The Appellant is a	-year-old Medicaid-SS	I beneficiary. (Appella	ınt's Exhibit #1)
2.		es disability through cancer. (Department's		
3.		oresentative said that ng. (See Testimony)	the Appellant needs	assistance with
4.	assessment all of his ADLs. "He	vitness testified that sh and testified was quite clear" abo Department's Exhibit A	d that the Appellant wa out what he could do	as ab <u>le to perform</u>
5.	The Department witr Negative Action N		,	1212-A Adequate services effective

6. The Appellant's further appeal rights were contained in the Adequate Negative Action Notice.

7. The request for hearing on the instant appeal was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Community Health on . (Appellant's Exhibit #1)

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

Home Help Services (HHS) are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a medical professional.

## COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT

The DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment must be completed on all open independent living services cases. ASCAP, the automated workload management system, provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information must be entered on the computer program.

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include, but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- The assessment may also include an interview with the individual who will be providing home help services.
- A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized.
- A face-to-face assessment is required on all transfer-in cases before a payment is authorized.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six month review and annual redetermination.

 A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.

. . . .

Adult Service Manual (ASM), §120, page 1 of 5, 5-1-2012.

\*\*\*

## Changes in the home help eligibility criteria:

# Home Help Eligibility Criteria

To qualify for home help services, an individual must require assistance with at least one activity of daily living (ADL) assessed at a level 3 or greater. The change in policy must be applied to any new cases opened on or after October 1, 2011, and to all ongoing cases as of October 1, 2011.

## **Comprehensive Assessment Required Before Closure**

Clients currently receiving home help services must be assessed at the next face-to-face contact in the client's home to determine continued eligibility. If the adult services specialist has a face-toface contact in the client's home prior to the next scheduled review/redetermination, an assessment of need must take place at that time.

**Example:** A face-to-face review was completed in August 2011; the next scheduled review will be in February 2012. The specialist meets with the client in his/her home for a provider interview in December 2011. Previous assessments indicate the client only needing assistance with instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). A new comprehensive assessment must be completed on this client.

If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at level 3 or greater but these services are **not** paid for by the department, or the client refuses to receive assistance, the client would **continue** to be eligible to receive IADL services.

If the client is receiving only IADLs and does **not** require assistance with at least one ADL, the client no longer meets eligibility for home help services and the case must close after negative action notice is provided.

Each month, beginning with October, 2011, clients with reviews due who only receive IADL services must take priority.

Case Name: 2013-20191
Hearing Decision & Order

## **Negative Action Notice**

The adult services specialist must provide a DHS-1212, Advance Negative Action notice, if the assessment determines the client is no longer eligible to receive home help services. The effective date of the negative action is ten business days after the date the notice is mailed to the client.

\*\*

# Right to Appeal

Clients have the right to request a hearing if they disagree with the assessment. If the client requests a hearing within ten business days, do not proceed with the negative action until after the result of the hearing.

Explain to the client that if the department is upheld, recoupment must take place back to the negative action date if payments continue. Provide the client with an option of continuing payment or suspending payment until after the hearing decision is rendered.

If the client requests a hearing after the 10-day notice and case closure has occurred, do not reopen the case pending the hearing decision. If the department's action is reversed, the case will need to be reopened and payment re-established back to the effective date of the negative action. If the department's action is upheld, no further action is required.

\*\*\*

Adult Service Bulletin (ASB) 2011-001; Interim Policy Bulletin Independent Living Services (ILS) Eligibility Criteria, pp. 1–3, October 1, 2011

\*\*\*

The Department witness, testified that on in-home assessment she observed the Appellant had no need for ADL assistance. She explained policy developments and advised the Appellant and a representative from agency that he would be denied services from the Home Help Service program for lack of need with hands-on assistance at a ranking of "3" or greater.

At hearing the Appellant's representative explained that the Appellant required assistance with grooming and dressing because she had to drive him to the barber shop and because she needed to be present when he got dressed - in case he fell.

The ASW testified credibly, however, that as of the date of the in-home visit and comprehensive assessment the Appellant did not require assistance with any ADL or personal care issue.

It is the province of the ASW to determine eligibility for services; the ASM requires an inhome, comprehensive assessment of HHS applicants. Based on new policy an HHS recipient must utilize at least one (1) ADL requiring hands-on service at the three (3) ranking or higher in order to be eligible for HHS.

The Appellant failed to preponderate his burden of proof that the Department erred in denying his request for HHS, because at the time of the assessment he demonstrated no physical need for assistance.

The testimony established that the Appellant was able to tend to his own personal care. He had help with various life style choices - but he was not in need of hands-on assistance with any activity of daily living. In the testimony it is suggested that his daughter as would-be choreprovider is necessary to monitor the Appellant in case he falls. Unfortunately, observing, prompting or directing is not a hands-on level of activity covered under the home help program.

The Appellant has failed to preponderate his burden of proof.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly denied the Appellant's HHS.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

<u>/S/</u>

Dale Malewska
Administrative Law Judge
for James K. Haveman, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

Date Mailed: 03/19/13

#### \*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\*

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filling of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.