STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	1	П	Ц		٨	Λ	١п	ГΕ	R	•		E	•
	ľ			п	_	IIV	117	١		п	•	_	•	

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-19900 3002 January 30, 2013 Oakland (04)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer					
HEARING DECIS	SION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 30, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Assistance Payments Worker.					
ISSUE					
Due to excess income, did the Department prope ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's be	· —	laimant's application			
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial			
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ re	ceived benefits fo	r:			
Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

2.	On January 1, 2013, the Department
3.	On December 8, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On December 19, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
pro imp Re	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is olemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule

Additionally, the Department's Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (2012) "Hearings," provides clients with hearing rights and sets forth hearing procedures. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (2012). This policy is applicable to this case.

In this case, after the hearing had begun, the Claimant testified that she now understood the Department's actions and was satisfied that the Department acted correctly in her case. She did not present any further testimony.

Having reviewed the Department's actions during the hearing, it is found and determined that the Claimant's acceptance of the Department's action is reasonable and correct. It is found and determined that the reduction of Claimant's FAP benefits occurred as a result of an increase in her and her son's Social Security income. Therefore, the Department is affirmed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess income, the Department \square properly \square improperly
☐ denied Claimant's application☐ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Jan Goenly
Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: February 1, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

Date Mailed: February 1, 2013

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2013-19900/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

cc: