STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-199 Issue No.: 2026

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 28, 2013 County: Oakland (63-03)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on January 28, 2013, from Walled Lake, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claiman and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined the Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On May 14, 2012, the Department discovered that Claimant received an increase in RSDI. Claimant previously received \$919.50 and this was increased to \$952 per month.
- On May 25, 2012, the Department completed new budgets and determined that Claimant had excess income for the MA program and this resulted in a spend-down case being opened.
- 3. On May 25, 2012, the Department issued a notice of case action.
- 4. On June 1, 2012, Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

At hearing, the Department explained that Claimant's MA case had been switched to a spend-down case due to excess income. Specifically, the increase in RSDI income rendered Claimant with \$932 of unearned income, after the \$20 disregard, ending the original MA benefits. Claimant's employment earnings are not a factor in the ending of the program eligibility. However, the combined income and unearned income does impact Claimant's spend-down amount. In the instant case, after adding his \$932 in countable unearned income with the income \$543 and subtracting the disregard of \$304, Claimant is left with a net countable income of \$1,171. The protected income amount is \$408. After subtracting this amount, Claimant's spend down is \$763.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when it opened a spend-down MA case in the amount of \$763.

Accordingly, the Department's MA decision is AFFIRMED for the reasons stated on the

record.

/ Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 6, 2013

Date Mailed: February 6, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

