## **STATE OF MICHIGAN** MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

Reg. No.:

2013 19863

IN	т		MΑ	TI		<b>\</b> E.
117		пЕ	IVI		<b>.</b>	JF.

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	3008 January 30, 2013 Wayne (35)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris		
HEARING DECIS	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for telephone hearing was held on J anuary 30, 2013, on behalf of Claimant included the Claiman t and also appeared as a witness. Participants on be Services (Department) included  Assistance Payments Supervisor.	or a hearing. After from Detroit, Mic chalf of the Depar	r due notice, a chigan. Participant s , who
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close 0 benefits for:		lid the Department reduce Claimant's
<u> </u>	State Disability As Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	ial, and substantia I s as material fact:
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐Fl	IP ⊠FAP □MA [	□SDA □CDC.
<ol><li>Claimant was required to submit requested ve provide the Department pay stub information.</li></ol>	rification by Nove	ember 26, 2012 an

3.	On January 1, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application.  closed Claimant's case.  reduced Claimant's benefits.
4.	On December 7, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application.  closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
5.	On December 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of Claimant's application.  closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re: 42 Ag: 313	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FI P replac ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.
pro imp Reg Age	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015
Sec The	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 20 04 PA 344. The Depar tment (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Developm ent Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult sand children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.					
Additionally, in this case the evidence presented demonstrated that the Claimant did not provide her son's pay stubs within the time provided by the verification checklist of 11/26/12. Subsequently after the notice of case action was received, the Claimant and her caseworker did discuss the case and the Claimant was told to reapply by her caseworker. The Claimant's caseworker credibly testified that she did not speak to the Claimant until 12/10/12 after the Notice of Case Action had been issued and after the verifications were due. The Claimant's chaseworker further testified that she did not recall any prior calls from the Claimant and returns her phone miessages and keeps a phone log. The Claimant could not recall the dates shehattempted to contact her caseworker regarding the issue of her son having lost his pay stubs and the employer's unwillingness to give wage information to the Claimant's son. Under these circumstances it is determined that at the time it made the decision to close the FAP case, the Department had no verifications and had no basis to keep the case open. The Department had no contact with the Claimant about the verifications until after the Notice of Case Action and therefore correctly closed the FAP case based upon the best available information that it had at the time in Department of High uman Services Bridge's Administrative Manual (BAM) 130 (5-1-2012).					
The Claimant may reapply for FAP benefits at any time.					
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department  ☐ properly ☐ improperly					
<ul><li>☐ closed Claimant's case.</li><li>☐ denied Claimant's application.</li><li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.</li></ul>					
DECISION AND ORDER					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department  ☐ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.					

Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\square$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Lynn M. Ferris`
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 5, 2013

Date Mailed: February 5, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

cc: