# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-19621

Issue No.: 2009

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 28, 2013

County: Delta

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge upon the Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which gov ern the administrative hearing and appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on March 28, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and te stified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

# **ISSUE**

Did the Department of Human Services Assistance (MA-P) and Retro-MA?

(DHS) properly deny Claimant 's Medic al

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On April 5, 2012, Claimant file d an application for MA and Retro-MA benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On December 10, 2012, the M edical Review Te am (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA-P/Retro-MA indicating Claimant was capable of performing other work, pursuant to 20 CFR 416.920(f). (Department Exhibit A 1-342).
- (3) On December 17, 20 12, the department casework er sent Claimant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On December 26, 2012, Claimant filed a r equest for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

- (5) On February 14, 3 the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled and retained the capacit y to perform a wide range of simple, unskilled, light work. (Depart Ex. B, p 1-6).
- (6) Claimant is a 24 year old woman whose birthday is Claimant is 5'1" tall and weighs 120 lbs. Claimant completed the ninth grade.
- (7) Claimant was appealing the denial of Social Securi ty disability benefits at the time of the hearing.
- (8) Claimant does not have a nicotine/alcohol/drug problem. Claimant smokes a ½ pack of cigarettes per day and quit using drugs in March, 2012.
- (9) Claimant testified that she had never had a driver's license.
- (10) Claimant has a limited work history and has not worked since 2009.
- (11) Claimant alleges disability on the basis of multip le impairments. Claimant has a history of keratosis pilaris, rhin itis, hyperthyroidism, Grave's disease, depression, hepatitis C, presence of a biventricular cardiac peacemaker, endocarditis of prosthetic valve, patent foramen ovale, septic pulmonary embolism, methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) septicemia, tricuspid valve regurgitation due to infection, fistula of sinus of Valsalve, complete heart block, anemia, liver dysfunction, as cites, stress induced cardiomyopathy, thrombocytopenia, and neuropathy.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfar e Act, 42 USC 1397, and is adminis tered by the Department, (DHS or department), pur suant to MCL 400.10 *et seq.* and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Adminis trative Manual (BAM), the Brid ges Elig ibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Disability is defined as the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expect ed to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416. 905(a). The person claimi ng a physical or mental disability has the burden to establis h it through the use of competent medical evid ence from qualified medic al sources s uch as his or her m edical history, clinic al/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescri bed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and ma ke appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is alleged. 20 CRF 41 3.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of themselves, sufficient to establish disab ility. 20 CF R 416.908; 20 CFR 41 6.929(a). Similarly, statements by a physician or m ental health professional that an individual is disabled or

#### 2013-19621/VLA

blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is in sufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require is everal factors to be considered including: (1) the location/duration/frequency/intensity of an applicant's pain; (2) the type/dosage/effectiveness/side effects of any medication the applicant takes to relieve pain; (3) any treatment other than pain medication that the applicant has received to relieve pain; and, (4) the effect of the applicant's pain on his or her ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(3). The applicant's pain must be assessed to determine the extent of his or her functional limitation(s) in light of the objective medical evidence presented. 20 CFR 416.929(c)(2).

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 2 0 CFR 41 6.920(a)(1). The five-step analysis requires the trier of fact to consider an individual's current work activity; the severity of the impairment(s) both in dur ation and whether it me ets or equals a liste d impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to determine whether an individual can perform past relevant work; and residual f unctional capacity along with vocation al factors (e.g., age, education, and work exper ience) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the wo rk you are doing is substantial gainful act ivity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical cond ition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings s pecified fo r the listed impairment that meets the duration require ment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the forme r work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?

5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience t o see if the clien t can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

If an individual is found disabled, or not disabled, at any step, a determination or decision is made with no need to evaluate subse quent steps. 20 CF R 41 6.920(a)(4). If a determination cannot be made that an individual is disabled, or not disabled, at particular step, the next step is required. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). If an impairment does not meet or equal a listed impairment, an indi vidual's residual functional capacity is assessed before moving from Step 3 to Step 4. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945. Residual f unctional c apacity is the most an individual c an do despite the limitations based on all relevant evidence. 20 CFR 945(a)(1). An indivi dual's residual function al capacity assessment is evaluat ed at both St eps 4 and 5. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4). In determining disability, an individual's functional capacity to perform basic work activitie s is evaluated and if found that the individual has the ability to perform basic work activities without significant limitation, disability will not be found. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv). In general, the individual has the responsibility to prove disability. 20 CFR 416. 912(a). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not significantly limit an basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a). individual's physical or mental ability to do The individual has the responsibility to provide evidence of prior work experience; efforts to work; and any other factor showing how the impairment affects the ability to work. 20 CFR 416.912(c)(3)(5)(6).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by Claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate Claim ant's claims or Claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

Medical reports should include:

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and labor atory findings which show that you have

a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a). The medical evidence must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d). Medical findings c onsist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy mptoms** are your own des cription of your phy sical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that there is a physical or mental impairment.
- (b) Signs are anatomical, phys iological, or psychologic al abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which in dicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, physiologic al, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techni ques include chemical tests, electrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

The medical findings must allow us to determine:

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question:
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 41 6.913(e). You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental im pairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a co ntinuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CF R 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, phy siological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

#### 2013-19621/VLA

Applying the sequential analysis herein, Claimant is not inelig ible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analys is looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416. 920(d). C laimant does not. The analy sis continues.

The fourth step of the ana lysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by Claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

In this case, Claimant has a history of le ss than gainful employment and has not worked since 2009. As such, there is no past wor k for Claim ant to perform, nor are there past work skills to transfer to other work occupations. Accordingly, Step 5 of the sequential analysis is required.

See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once CI aimant makes it to the final step of the analysis, Claimant has already established a prima facie case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 732 F d2 962 (6 <sup>th</sup> Cir, 1984). Moving forward the burden of proof rests w ith the state to prove by substantial evidenc e that Claimant has the residual function capacity for substantial gainful activity.

After careful review Claimant 's extensive medical record and the Administrative Law Judge's personal interaction with Claimant at the hearing, it is found that Claimant's exertional and non-exertional impairments render Claim ant unable to engage in a full range of even sedentary work activities on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 11, Section 201.00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83-10; Wilson v Heckler, 743 F2d 216 (1986). The department has failed to provide vocational evidence which establishes that Claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity and that, given claimant's age, education, and work experience, there are significant numbers of jobs in the national economy which Claimant could perform despite Claimant's limitations.

Accordingly, Claimant is disabled for pur poses of the MA-P program. Claimant's testimony regarding her limitations and ability to sit, stand, walk, lift and carry is credible and supported by substantial m edical evidence. The evaluation of Claimant's treating physician was also c redible and supported by substantial medica I evidence and was given weight.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides the department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The depar tment shall process Claimant's April 5, 2012, MA/Retro-MA application, and shall award her all the benefits she may be entitled to receive, as long as she meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.
- 2. The department shall rev iew Claimant's medica I cond ition for improvement in April, 2014, unless her Social Security Administration disability status is approved by that time.
- 3. The department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding her continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

Vicki Administrative L. Armstrong Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 18, 2013

Date Mailed: April 18, 2013

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### VLA/las

cc: