STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DELYNCTIMENT OF FIGWARD CERTIFICES				
IN THE MATTER OF:	Dan Na	2042 40202		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-19362 3003 January 30, 2013 Washtenaw County DHS		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J anuary 30, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's applic ation ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☒ red	ceived benefits fo	r:		
Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2. On November 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits

due to excess income.

3.	On October 11, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.	
4.	On December 20, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's A HR filed a hearing r protesting the denial of the application. closure of the case. reduction of benefits.	equest

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

For FAP purposes, all earned and unearned inco me available to Claimant is c ountable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties that were performed for compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Inde pendence Program (FIP), State Disability Assistance (SDA), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemploy ment Compensation Benefits (UCB), Adult Medical Program (AMP), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may be more than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. BEM 500.

The Department determines a Client's eligib ility for program benefits based on the Client's actual income and/or prospective in come. Actual income is income that was already received. Prospective income is income not yet received but expected. Prospective budgeting is the best estimate of the Client's future income. All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the Client is paid we ekly, the Department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the Client is paid every other week, the Department multiplies the average bi-weekly amount by 2.15. BEM 505.

After an extensive review of the Claimant's budget I have determined all calculations were properly made at review, and all FaP issuance/budgeting rules were properly applied. As such, the Department's reduction of the Claimant's FAP benefits must be upheld.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I find, the Department properly reduced Claimant's FAP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, bas ed upon the above Findings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find the Department did act properly

Accordingly, the Department's FAP decision is **AFFIRMED** for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 31, 2013

Date Mailed: January 31, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

