STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013 19072

Issue No.: 1000

Case No.: Hearing Date: M

Date: May 8, 2013

County: Wayne County DHS (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 8, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Deborah Wilson, ES.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly:	
□ denied Claimant's application for benefits □ closed Claimant's case for benefits □ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? 	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On January 1, 2013, the Department:

	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits
	under the following program(s):
2.	On December 12, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:
	☑ denial☐ closure☐ reduction.
3.	On December 19, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	rtment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Responsible Agence through effecting the latest through through the latest through through the latest through through the latest through the lates	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal consibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, BC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program tive October 1, 1996. The provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or d settlement. MCL 24.278(2).
Soon	present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a ment concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to de-

Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: The Department agreed to reinstate the Claimant's FIP cash assistance application dated December 10, 2012 and process the application to determine eligibility. The Department further agreed to issue a FIP supplement to the Claimant for FIP benefits she was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT SHALL INITIATE WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER, THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The Department shall re-register the Claimant's FIP application dated December 10, 2012 and process the Application to determine FIP eligibility.
- 2. The Department shall issue a FIP supplement to the Claimant for FIP benefits she is otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 13, 2013

Date Mailed: May 14, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/tm

cc: