STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013-18571 1021, 2018, 3019

January 29, 2013 Ingham County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J anuary 29, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participant s Participants on behalf of Department of on behalf of Claimant in cluded Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \Box deny the Claim ant's application \boxtimes close Claim ant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

l		Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
	Х	State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
[Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits received benefits for:

\times	
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	

Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

🛛 State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On approximately October 31, 2012, the Department closed the Claimant's SDA, MA and FAP cases.
- 3. On December 10, 2012, t he Claimant requested a hearing in dispute of the FAP, SDA and MA closures.
- 4. The Claimant never received a notice of case action regarding the October 31, 2012 closures.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of t he Code of Federal Regulations (CF R). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The SDA program, which pr ovides financia I assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The MA program is established by the Titl e XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of t he Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

In this case, the Department completely missed the boat with the hearing s ummary and documentation submitted into the record. The real issue and sole issue in dispute was the Department's closure of the Claimant's FAP, SDA and MA benefits on October 31, 2012. The Department after r ealizing the correct issue provided only s mall bits and pieces related to the original c losure and did not provide any documents to corroborate their claims. The Claimant on the other hand disputed the pos sibility of any closures going out regarding the original closure

Accordingly, I find evidence to reverse the Department in this matter.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, find the Department improperly closed the Claimant's FAP, SDA and MA cases.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

2013-18571/CAA

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for FAP, SDA and MA benefits beginning October 31, 2012 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

/s/

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 30, 2013

Date Mailed: January 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, math ematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAA/las

