STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-18419 2006; 3008 February 11, 2013 Wayne (15)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burk	e			
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on February 11, 2013 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Partici Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. B, from Detroit, Mi	After due notice, a chigan. Participants of the Department of		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	State Disability A Child Developme	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
1. Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FIP ☒FAP ☒MA ☐SDA ☐CDC.				
2. Claimant was required to submit new hire verification by May 14, 2012.				
3. Claimant submitted new hire verification a	it the end of M	lay 2012, and her		

Department worker at the time adjusted Claimant's FAP benefits accordingly and did

not close Claimant's MA case.

4.	On January 1, 2013, the Department closed Claimant's MA and FAP cases due to failure to verify information.
5.	On December 4, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On December 14, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective ctober 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 105; BAM 130. The client should be allowed 10 calendar days to provide the verification. BAM 130. If the client refuses to provide the information or has not made a reasonable effort within the specified time period, then policy directs that a negative action be issued. *Id.*

In the present case, Claimant was required to submit new hire verification by May 14, 2012. Claimant testified credibly that she submitted the verification by the end of May, 2012. Claimant's worker at the time accepted the verification and adjusted Claimant's FAP benefits accordingly. Claimant's Department worker at the time did not close Claimant's FAP and MA benefits due to failure to cooperate in providing verification.

The Department worker at the hearing testified that he was not Claimant's worker at the time of the requested verification, but was later asked by the Department to "clean up" New Hire issues.

It is logical to conclude that Claimant's worker in May and June of 2012 found that Claimant had cooperated with the Department, but did not indicate on Bridges or other Department record-keeping device that Claimant had verified as needed. When the new worker looked at the verification issue, he did not know that Claimant was found to have cooperated by her prior worker, so he proceeded to close Claimant's case due to failure to cooperate with verification issues.

Since Claimant's worker at the time of the requested verification found Claimant to be in cooperation, I do not find that Claimant failed to cooperate. BAM 105; BAM 130.

stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly
 ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

 \square did act properly \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is ☐ AFFIRMED ☒ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. ☐ THE DEPARTMENT SHALL INITIATE WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER, THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's MA and FAP cases, effective January 1, 2013.
- 2. Issue FAP supplements for any missed or increased payments, in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Susa C. Buch

Date Signed: February 12, 2013

Date Mailed: February 13, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2013-18419/SCB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/tm

