STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES			
IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-17907 1038 January 16, 2013 Wayne (35)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burk	е		
HEARING DECIS	SION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on January 16, 2013 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and C Participants on behalf of the Department of Humans and C Participants on behalf of the De	for a hearing. , from Detroit, Mi Claimant's mothe	After due notice, a chigan. Participants r,	
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ calculate Claimant's benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF F	<u>ACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantial	
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received be	enefits for:		
Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).	

☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

☐ Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On July 11, 2012, the Department issued to Claimant a Work Participation Program Appointment Notice ("Notice"), informing Claimant of an appointment for July 23, 2012.		
3.	Claimant received the Notice, but did not attend the appointment, nor did she contact her Department worker to notify her worker of her illness.		
4.	On September 11, 2012 the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application □ closed Claimant's case □ calculated Claimant's benefits.		
5.	On September 11, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. calculation.		
6.	On December 7, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \square calculation.		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.		
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.			
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 0.105.		
□ ad	☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.		

201317907/SCB

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.		
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds includes failing or refusing to: Appear and participate with the work participation program. BEM 233A.		
In the present case, Claimant admitted at the hearing that she received the Work Participation Program Appointment Notice ("Notice") (Exhibit 2) and that she did not attend the appointment. Claimant testified that due to pain, she could hardly move. However, the Notice specifies that if Claimant could not keep the appointment, she was to have called her Department worker before the appointment. Claimant admits that she did not call her Department worker to let her know that she could not keep the appointment.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department		
 ☑ properly denied Claimant's application ☑ properly closed Claimant's case ☑ properly calculated Claimant's benefits ☑ improperly closed Claimant's case ☑ improperly closed Claimant's benefits ☑ improperly calculated Claimant's benefits 		
for:		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \boxtimes FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		

201317907/SCB

☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 22, 2013

Date Mailed: January 22, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SCB/hw

cc: