STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

11	LTI		BA A	•	ΓFR	\sim	г.
ır	4 I I	7E	IVI	۱ı	ırk	u	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-17898 3002 January 28, 2013 Wayne (35)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	er	
HEARING DE	CISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administrant MCL 400.37 following Claimant's requestelephone hearing was held on January 28, 20 on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant of Human Services (Department) included	est for a hearing. 013, from Detroit, Mi <u>Participan</u> ts on beha	After due notice, a chigan. Participants
ISSUE		
Due to excess income, did the Department pro ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's		laimant's application
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS O	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the evidence on the whole record, finds as material	•	rial, and substantial
1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits for: 区	received benefits fo	r:
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

2.	On January 1, 2013, the Department
3.	On December 8, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.
4.	On December 19, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☒ reduction of benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
"F/ind (BI be allo	ditionally, Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500, "Income Overview," and BEM 550, AP Income Budgeting," require the Department to count all unearned income as the come of the customer. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual EM) 500 (2012), 550 (2012). In this case the Claimant's Social Security (RSDI) nefits increased. This increase caused the Department to recalculate Claimant's FAP others. Because Claimant's income was higher than it was before, the Department and not continue to provide her with the same FAP allotment. The result was a crease in Claimant's FAP benefits.
the	the hearing the calculations were reviewed with the Claimant, and after explanation Claimant agreed that she understood and that the Department's calculations were rrect.
sta	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons sted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess some, the Department \square properly \square improperly
	 ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case
for	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☒ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly} \infty \text{did not act properly}.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: January 29, 2013

Date Mailed: January 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

