STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

IN THE MATTER OF:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S. Saunders HEARING DECISION This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on January 23, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participan on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department Human Services (Department) included ISSUE Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's cas for: Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201317809 3019 January 23, 2013 Cass County DHS
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on January 23, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participan on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department Human Services (Department) included ISSUE Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case for:	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S	Saunders	
and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on January 23, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participan on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department Human Services (Department) included ISSUE Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's cas for:	HEARING DECI	SION	
Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☒ close Claimant's cas for:	and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on January 23, 2013 on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Par	for a hearing. , from Lansing, Mi	After due notice, a chigan. Participants
for:	ISSUE		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	· · · · · · · · · ·	application 🛚 cl	ose Claimant's case
Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)		State Disability A	ssistance (SDÁ)?
FINDINGS OF FACT			

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

1. Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:

2.	On January 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's application due to failure to the claimant being incarcerated.
3.	On November 27, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On December 11, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 ough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 0.3180.

Date Mailed: January 28, 2013

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \infty \text{did act properly.} \infty \text{did not act properly.}
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Christopher S. Saunders Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services Date Signed: January 24, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CSS/cr

cc: