STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201317673

Issue No.: 3002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 23, 2013

County: Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J anuary 23, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participant s on behalf of Claimant in cluded Claimant. Participant s on b ehalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included process (Department) included process.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department pr operly calculate Claimant's F ood Assist ance Program (FAP) benefits for January 1, 2013, ongoing?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. On December 3, 2012, the Department sent Cla imant a Notic e of Case Actio n advising her that her monthly FAP benefits would be \$16 effective January 1, 2013.
- 3. On December 13, 2012, Claimant filed a reques t fo r hearing, disputing the Department's calculation of her FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established purs uant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FI P replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.
∑ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is implemented by the federal r egulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.
☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Additionally, the December 3, 2012 Notice of Case Ac tion sent to Claimant notified her that her monthly FAP benefits would be \$16 beginning January 1, 2013.

At the hearing, the Department's calculation of Claimant's budget based on information in the Dec ember 3, 2012 Notic e of Case Action was reviewed. While Claimant was concerned regarding the Depa rtment's use of the gross income, a review of policy shows that the Department properly considered gro ss annuity and unem ployment compensation benefits (UCB) income in calculating Claimant's unearned income. BEM 503 (November 1, 2012), pp 1, 4, 25-26; BEM 500 (January 1, 2013), pp 2, 3, 10. The Department's calculation of gross monthly UCB inc ome was based on a consolidated inquiry in which the Unemployment Insurance Agency (UIA) reported biweekly gross UCB income to Claimant of \$416. As di scussed on the record, the Department is required to multiply biweekly inc ome by 2.15. BEM 505 (October 1, 2010), p 6. This results in gross monthly UCB inc ome of \$894. A review of the budget on the Notice of Case Action shows that the Department ap plied the correct deductibles. Se e BEM 554 (October 1, 2012). Claimant verified all of the other information the Department used to calculate her FAP benefits. A review of the FAP b udget bas ed on the foregoing information shows that the Department act ed in accordance with Department polic v when it concluded that Claimant was eligible for monthly F AP benefits of \$16 effective January 1, 2013. BEM 556 (October 1, 2011); RFT 260 (December 1, 2012), p 6.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon to flaw, and for the reasons stated on the red id did act properly when calculating Claimar id did not act properly when in the control of the c	cord, finds that the Department	ns
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is reasons stated on the record and above.	☐ AFFIRMED ☐ REVERSED for the	;
	ACC.	
	Alice C. Ell	kin

Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 30, 2013

Date Mailed: January 30, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/cl

