STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES				
IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201317509 3002 February 12, 2013 Macomb		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for telephone hearing was held on February 12 Participants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. 2, 2013, from . Parti	After due notice, a		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Due to excess income, did the Department proper \square close Claimant's case \boxtimes reduce Claimant's ber	ly	laimant's application		
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material face		rial, and substantial		
 Claimant applied for benefits for: Independence Program (FIP),	Adult Medical AP),	Assistance (AMP), Disability Assistance		

On December 8, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case ☒ reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess

2.

income.

3.	On December 8, 2012, the Department sent \square Claimant \square Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the \square denial. \square closure. \square reduction.	
4.	On December 14, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \square closure of the case. \boxtimes reduction of benefits.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
•	policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the bility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
	ult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.	
Responsibilit 42 USC 601, Agency) adn through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.	
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 400.3015.	
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.	
for disabled as the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known y Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	
Additionally	the Department failed to present sufficient evidence to establish that it	

Additionally, the Department failed to present sufficient evidence to establish that it properly determined the Claimant's eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP). The Department failed to submit a notice of case action, or any documentation explaining its eligibility determinations.

Date Mailed: February 13, 2013

stated on th income, the	the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons he record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess Department \square properly \boxtimes improperly \square denied Claimant's application Claimant's benefits \square closed Claimant's case for: \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP DA \square CDC.
	DECISION AND ORDER
of Law, an	trative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions d for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department operly \boxtimes did not act properly.
	the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision MED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
_	PARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF DF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1.	Initiate a determination of the Claimant's eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP) as of January 1, 2013.
2.	Provide the Claimant with a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) describing the Department's revised eligibility determination.
3.	Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she may be eligible to receive, if any.
	/s/ Kevin Scully
	Administrative Law Judge
	For Maura Corrigan, Director
Date Signed	Department of Human Services: February 13, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

