## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES		
IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201317276 3008 January 17, 2013 Genesee
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully		
HEARING DECI	SION	
Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. , from Lansing, Mi	After due notice, a
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case $\square$ reduce Claimant's benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF F	ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony		
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was re ☐CDC.</li> </ol>	ceiving:   FIP	∏FAP ∏MA ∏SDA
2. Claimant ⊠ was □ was not pı (DHS-3503).	rovided with a V	erification Checklist

3. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by November 26, 2012.

4.	On November 30, 2012, the Department $\square$ denied Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ closed Claimant's case $\square$ reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.	
5.	On November 30, 2012, the Department sent notice of the $\square$ denial of Claimant's application. $\boxtimes$ closure of Claimant's case. $\square$ reduction of Claimant's benefits.	
6.	On December 8, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial. ☐ closure. ☐ reduction.	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
•	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges anual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	
Responsibil 42 USC 60 <sup>o</sup> Agency) ad through Ru	nily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ity and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 1, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 le 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) programe tober 1, 1996.	
program] is implemente Regulations Agency) ad	od Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is did by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ministers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 e 400.3015.	
Security Ac The Depart	dical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social t and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). ment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	
for disabled as the Fam	te Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known lily Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	
and XX of 1990, and t The progra and 99. T	Id Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. In is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 the Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.	

Date Mailed: January 22, 2013

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
<u>/s/</u>
Kevin Scully Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: January 22, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## KS/tb

