STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

	TI	 1 A A	_	rrp	\sim	г.
IN		VIД		ΓER	u	-:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-169 2006 January 14, 2013 Wayne (82)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Benr	nane	
HEARING DECIS	<u>ION</u>	
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative and MCL 400.37 following Claim—ant's request for telephone hearing was held on J anuary 14, 2013, on behalf of Claim—ant included—the claimant's a Participants on behalf of the—Department of Humber tealf, FIM.	r a hearing. After from Detroit, Mic attorney,	r due notice, a chigan. Participant s
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification properly deny Claimant's application close C benefits for:		lid the Department ☑ reduce Claimant's
	_	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the devidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for ☒ was receiving: ☐FI	P □FAP ⊠MA [□SDA □CDC.
2. Claimant was required to submit requested veri	fication by Noven	nber 1, 2011.

 3. On December 1, 2011,, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits. 	
 4. On December 1, 2011, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits. 	
 5. On September 12, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits. 	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	ges
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-142 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independent Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3 3131. FI P replace ed the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.	193, ice 101-
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS F400.3001-3015	lis al c e
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CF The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	FR).
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistator disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known than the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M 400.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.	own

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.
Additionally, documents presented at the hearing show that the claimant's attorney notified the Department of his representation of the Claimant on December 27, 2010. In addition, the Department failed to notify the Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) or her attorney of an attempt to complete a Long-Term Care Redetermination, sent to the Claimant only on October 17, 2011.
The AR and the attorney represent the Claimant and without their knowledge the Department's notices failed.
An author ized representative (AR) is a per son who applies for assistance on behalf of the client and/or otherwise acts on his behalf (for example, to obtain FAP benefits for the group) (BAM 110, p. 8; January 1, 2012).
An attorney certainly qualifies on at least the same level as the claimant's AR. Without notification to either there effectively was no notice.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly

DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate the reopening of the Claimant's MA back to the closure date of Dec ember 1, 2011 and supplement for any missed benefits from that date.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 11, 2013

Date Mailed: March 11, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

