STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ T	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (u	-	Ī

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-16805 2000 May 2, 2013 Wayne (41)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levente	er						
SETTLEMENT ORDER							
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on May 2, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and his Authorized Representative, his sister. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager and Family Independence Specialist.							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Whether the Department properly:							
□ denied Claimant's application for benefits □ closed Claimant's case for benefits □ reduced Claimant's benefits							
for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	Child Developme	Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Services (SER)?					

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On October 25, 2012, the Department:			
	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 			
	under the following program(s):			
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.			
2.	On October 25, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:			
	☑ denial☐ closure☐ reduction.			
3.	On December 5, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.			
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).				
Secur The I	ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social rity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.			
	aw provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or d settlement. MCL 24.278(2).			
In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do				

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

the following: review and redetermine Claimant's eligibility for Qualified Medicare

Beneficiary (QMB) Medicare Savings Program benefits prior to November 1, 2012.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- 1. Review and redetermine Claimant's eligibility for Qualified Medicare Beneficiary (QMB) Medicare Savings Program benefits prior to November 1, 2012.
- Provide retroactive QMB benefits to Claimant at the benefit level to which he is entitled.
- 3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 9, 2013
Date Mailed: May 9, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

