STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ T	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (u	-	Ī

Reg. No.:	201316747
Issue No.:	3052
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	January 17, 2013
County:	Branch

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 17, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did Claimant receive an overissuance of program benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	During the period of January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011,
	Claimant received benefits for: Family Independence Program (FIP),
	State Disability Assistance (SDA), State Disability Assistance (SDA),
	(FAP), Child Development and Care (CDC), Medical Assistance
	(MA).

2. The Claimant reported earned income to the Department that was not applied towards his Food Assistance Program (FAP) eligibility determination for January and February of 2011.

3.	The Claimant failed to report increases in earned income during the months of July 2011, September 2011, and December 2011, when he failed to report working more overtime hours than his normal work schedule.		
4.	The Department determined that Claimant received a FIP FAP MA SDA CDC overissuance in the amount of during the period of January 1, 2011, through February 28, 2011, which was due to Department error.		
5.	The Department determined that Claimant received a FIP FAP MA SDA CDC overissuance in the amount of during the period of July 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011, which was due to client error.		
6.	On November 26, 2012, the Department sent notice of the overissuance and a repayment agreement to Claimant.		
7.	On December 3, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's recoupment action.		
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Responsibilit 42 USC 601, Agency) adn through Rule	ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.		
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 400.3015.		
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			

☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule
400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. Gardiner v Courtright, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); Dep't of Community Health v Risch, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). Moreover, the weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. Dep't of Community Health, 274 Mich App at 372; People v Terry, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, the fact-finder may consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter. People v Wade, 303 Mich 303 (1942), cert den, 318 US 783 (1943).

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has established that the Claimant received Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits from January 1, 2011, through February 28, 2011, that the Claimant was not eligible to receive due to the Department's failure to account for earned income that the Claimant properly reported. Although the Claimant reported his earnings in a timely manner, the Department has a duty to recoup benefits issued in error.

Clients must report changes in circumstance that potentially affect eligibility or benefit amount within 10 days of receiving the first payment reflecting the change. BAM 105. Income reporting requirements are limited to the following:

Earned income:

- Starting or stopping employment.
- Changing employers.
- Change in rate of pay.
- Change in work hours of more than five hours per week that is expected to continue for more than one month. BAM 105.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has established that the Claimant received Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits during the months of July 2011, September 2011, and December 2011, that the Claimant was not eligible to receive due to the Claimant's failure to report an increase hours worked and earned income received during these months.

Date Signed: January 23, 2013

Date Mailed: January 24, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

CC:

