STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-16117

Issue No.: 1000; 2000; 3000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 4, 2013 County: WAYNE (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 4, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSU</u>	<u> E</u>			
Whether the Department properly:				
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits				
for:				
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? 	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT				

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1	. (On N	lovem	ber 1,	2012	, the	Depar	tment	

	denied Claimant's	application	for benefits
\times	closed Claimant's	case for ber	nefits

	⊠ reduced Claimant's benefits
U	under the following program(s):
	⊠ FIP ⊠ FAP ⊠ MA □ AMP □ SDA □ CDC □ SER.
	On December 6, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
C	At the hearing, the Department agreed to remove the sanction from Claimant's case, and to reinstate and restore Claimant's FIP, MA and FAP benefits, effective November 1, 2012.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibilit	ment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ty Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Respon 42 USC Agency through	Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal asibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, C 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program e October 1, 1996.
program implement Regulat Agency	e Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) m] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is ented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal tions (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence of administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 201 through Rule 400.3015.
Security The De	Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social y Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). epartment of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence of administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 5.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do

the following: remove the sanction from Claimant's case, and to reinstate and restore Claimant's FIP, MA and FAP benefits, effective November 1, 2012.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- Remove the sanction from Claimant's case.
- 2. Reinstate and restore Claimant's FIP, MA and FAP benefits, effective November 1, 2012.

3. Issue FIP and FAP supplements for any missed or increased payments, in accordance with Department policy.

Susan C. Burke
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 7, 2013
Date Mailed: February 7, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision. SCB/tm

