# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN	IHE	MAI	IEK	OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-15991 2018 March 7, 2013 Macomb (50-12)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W	. Owens	
SETTLEMENT	ORDER	
This matter is before the undersigned Administ and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request to person hearing was held on March 7, 20 Participants on behalf of Claimant include Participants on behalf of the Department of	for a hearing. After of 13, from Clinton T ed Human Services (D	due notice, an an in- 「ownship, Michigan.
ISSUE		
Whether the Department properly:		
□ denied Claimant's application for benefits     □ closed Claimant's case for benefits     □ reduced Claimant's benefits		
for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ Child Developme	Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Services (SER)?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

2.	On November 7, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the application processing.			
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.			
	under the following program(s):			
	<ul> <li>         □ partially approved Claimant's application for benefits         □ closed Claimant's case for benefits         □ reduced Claimant's benefits     </li> </ul>			
1.	On December 5, 2012, the Department:			

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: activate coverage for MA back to April 1, 2011.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

#### THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Initiate action to activate MA coverage back to April 1, 2011;

2. Issue a written determination upon completion of action.

Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 12, 2013

Date Mailed: March 12, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### JWO/pf

