## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	201315274
Issue No.:	1038
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	January 30, 2013
County:	Wayne (15)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 30, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included **Exercise 100**, PATH Case Manager.

#### ISSUE

Did the Department properly 🛛 deny Claimant's application 🗌 close Claimant's case for:

Х	

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Direct Support Services (DSS)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant 🛛 applied for benefits 🗌 received benefits for:

Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Direct Support Services (DSS).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On July 14, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case.
- On July 14, 2012, the Department sent
   Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.
- 4. On September 12, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the  $\square$  denial of the application.  $\square$  closure of the case.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

Additionally, Claimant submitted a TC-60 application for FIP benefits, which, pursuant to the Department's settlement order, allowed the application to be dated February 29, 2012, with benefits to begin on March 16, 2012 if the client was determined eligible.

On July 14, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action denying her FIP application based on her failure to participate in employment-related activities. On September 12, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing disputing the denial. In preparing the hearing summary in response to Claimant's hearing request, the Department determined that it had failed to send Claimant a Work Participation Program Appointment Notice. The Department must refer a client to the work participation program at application and the client's application must be processed within 45 days. BEM 229 (December 1, 2012), p 5; BAM 115 (May 1, 2012), p 12. At the hearing, the Department acknowledged that it erred in processing Claimant's FIP application because it had failed to timely refer Claimant to the work participation program. Thus, the Department acknowledged that it did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application.

While the Department indicated it was willing to reregister and reprocess Claimant's FIP application, the Department added that, in establishing FIP eligibility, Claimant would be required to comply with changes in Department policy effective as of January 1, 2013. However, Claimant's application must be processed in accordance with the Department policies *in effect on the date she submitted her application*, notwithstanding the fact that the Department failed to identify this date at the hearing.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

<ul> <li>properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>properly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for: 🗌 AMP 🖂 FIP 🗌 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SD	DA 🗌 CDC 🔲 DSS.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly. i did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\boxtimes$  FIP  $\square$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC  $\square$  DSS decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated above and on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reregister Claimant's TC-60 FIP application as of February 29, 2012;
- 2. Begin reprocessing Claimant's application in accordance with Department policy in effect on the date the application was submitted;
- 3. Issue supplements to Claimant for FIP benefits she was eligible to receive but did not from March 16, 2012, ongoing; and
- 4. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.

Alice C. Elkin

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 5, 2013

Date Mailed: February 5, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## ACE/hw

