STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013-15136

 Issue No.:
 3008

 Case No.:
 Issue

 Hearing Date:
 April 1, 2013

 County:
 Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Monday, April 1, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Claimant appeared and testified. served a s interpreter. Participants on behalf of t he Department of Human Services (Department) included served as

<u>SSUES</u>

Did the Department act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (F AP) benefits due to a failure to provide required verifications?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On September 6, 2012, Claimant submitted an application for FAP benefits.
- 2. On October 4, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Verification Ch ecklist (VCL) for which Claimant was required to submit r equested verifications by October 15, 2012. (Exhibit 1)

- 3. On October 5, 2012, the Departm ent sent Claimant a Food Ass istance Application Notice, denying his applic ation for FAP benefits for fa ilure to verify requested information but notifying him that if he submitted the documents within 60 days, his applicat ion would be reprocessed and he would rec eive benefits prorated from the date of compliance. (Exhibit 2)
- 4. On November 30, 2012, Claimant f iled a request for hearing d isputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] was established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

Verification is usually required at application/redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130 (May 2012), p.1. To request verification of information, the Department sends a Verificati on Checklist (VCL) which tells the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130, pp. 2-3. FAP clients are given 10 calendar days to pr ovide the verifications requested by the Department. Verifications are considered to be timely if received by the dat e they ar e due. BAM 130, p.5. The Depart tment sends a ne gative action notice when the client indicates a refusal to provide a verification or the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130, p. 5.

In this case, Claimant applied for FAP benefits on September 6, 2012 and an initial interview was completed at that time. The Department sent Claimant a VCL on October 4, 2012 in connection with his September 6, 2012 a pplication for FAP benefits. (Exhibit 1). Verification of Claimant's checking acc ount infor mation, identification, and alien status was due by October 15, 2012. (Exhibit 1). On October 5, 2012, just one day after it sent the VCL and ten days before the VCL was due, the Department sent Claimant a DHS-1150, Food As sistance A pplication Notice, denying his application for FAP benefits. (Exhibit 2) . There was no evidence pres ented to establish that Claimant refused to provide verification, nor did the ti me period given to provide the verification elapse prior to the October 5, 2012 Food Assistance Applicat ion Notice of denial being sent as required by BAM 115 and BAM 130. Because the Food Assi stance Application Notice denying Claim ant's applications was sent prior to October 15, 2012 when Claimant's verifications were due, the D epartment did not ac t in accordance with

Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for FAP benefits for failure to provide required verifications.

At the hearing, the Depar tment testified that the time for Claimant to comply with the verification request was extended from 30 days to 60 days, with benefits being prorated from the date of application; however, no evidenc e was pr esented to establis h that a VCL was sent to Claimant prior to t he one sent on October 4, 2012. The Food Assistance Application Notice did not serve to extend Claimant's time to respond to the VCL. Rather, it informed him of Department policy concerning verifications that were received 30 or more days after the due date. See BAM 115 (January 2013), pp.18-19. The Notic e informed Claimant that the F AP benefits for the first 30 days from his application date had been denied for failure to complete the interview requiremen t and/or provide required verifications but if he provided the verifications within 60 days. his FAP benefits, if ot herwise eligible, would be prorated from the date of complianc e, not the dat e of applic ation, as the Department testified. (Exhibit 2). A ccording to BAM 115, the F AP begin date depends on the group's eligibilit y and whether the 30-day standard of promptness (SOP) has been met. When the 30-day SOP is met, or it is not met but the group is not at faul t for the delay, the begin date is either of the following: the application date if the group is eligible for the applicat ion month (even if proration causes zero benefits) or the first day of the month after the application month if that is when the group becomes eligible. BAM 115, pp. 21-22.

Accordingly, the Department's actions are REVERSED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the r ecord, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for FAP benefits. Therefore, the Department's FAP decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reregister Claimant's September 6, 2012 application for FAP benefits;
- 2. Begin reprocessing t he FAP applic ation in a ccordance with Department policy;
- 3. Begin the issuance of supplements for any FAP benefits that Claimant was entitled to receive but did not from September 6, 2012, ongoing; and

 Notify Claimant of its decision in policy.

Notify Claimant of its decision in writing in accordance with Department

Lamal Kaydown

Zaináb Baydoun Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 9, 2013

Date Mailed: April 9, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/cl

