#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



Reg. No:	2013-15067
Issue No:	3008
Case No:	
Hearing Date:	January 10, 2013
DHS-SSPC-WE	ST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J anuary 10, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participant s Participa nts on behalf of Department on behalf of Claimant included of Human Services (Department) included

## ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the ve	rification requirements,	did the Department
properly 🛛 deny Claimant's application 🗌	close Claimant's case	reduce Claimant's
benefits for:		

ĺ	Х

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. applied for FAP benefits. On the On October 15, 2012, the Claimant application and during the intake interview, the Claimant indicated he had a savings account.
- 2. On November 1, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a verification checklist. The verific ation checklist was due by November 13, 2012 and requested information regarding the Claimant's savings account.
- 3. As of November 13, 2012, the Claimant had not returned to the Department the verification information regarding his savings account.

- 4. On November 16, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indice ated the Claimant's F AP application was being denied as the Claimant had failed to return the request ed verifications regarding his savings account.
- 5. On November 21, 2012, the Claimant requested a hearing.
- 6. At no point in time between Nov ember 1, 2012 and November 13, 2012 did the Claimant call or try to contact his case worker.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FAP [formerly known as the Food Stamp (F S) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in T itle 7 of t he Code of Federal Regulations (CF R). The Department (formerly known as the Fa mily Independence Agenc y) admin isters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of necessary forms. Client s must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews.

The client might be unable to answer a question about himself or another person whose circumstances must be known. Allow the c lient at least 10 days (or other timeframe specified in policy) to obtain the needed information.

Testimony and other evidence must be we ighed and considered according to its reasonableness.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, the weight and credibilit y of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine.<sup>2</sup> In evaluating the credibility and weight to be given t he testimony of a witnes s, the fact-finder ma y consider the demeanor of the witness, the reasonableness of the witness 's testimony, and the interest, if any, the witness may have in the outcome of the matter.<sup>3</sup>

I have carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record and find t he Claimant failed to return t he request ed verifications by the deadline established by policy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *People v Wade*, 303 Mich 303 (1942), *cert den*, 318 US 783 (1943).

Accordingly, I find, based on the com petent, material, and substant ial eviden ce presented during the hearing, the department acted in accor dance with policy in denying the Claimant's FAP application.

Accordingly, I **AFFIRM** the Department's actions in this matter.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find based upon the above F indings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Department did act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

<u>/s/</u>

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 11, 2013

Date Mailed: January 11, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

### 2013-15067/CAA

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

