STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.:
2013-14979

Issue No.:
2006; 3008

Case No.:
Image: Comparison of the second seco

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 31, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \Box deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case \Box reduce Claimant's benefits for:

| imes | |
|------|--|

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

? State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)?

Adult Medical Program (AMP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 2. On September 11, 2012, the Department mailed to Claimant a Redetermination Telephone Interview Notice (Notice) to Claimant's then-current address. (Exhibit 1)
- 3. The Notice was returned to the Department from the United States Post Office as undeliverable.

- 4. On November 1, 2012, the Department closed Claimant's AMP and FAP cases due to failing to return redetermination forms.
- 5. On December 3, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the

denial of claimant's application.

 \boxtimes closure of Claimant's case.

reduction of Claimant's benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

Clients must cooperate with the local DHS office in obtaining verification for determining initial and ongoing eligibility. BAM 105; BAM 130.

In the present case, the Department closed Claimant's AMP and FAP cases due to Claimant not returning redetermination forms. However, Claimant did not receive the Redetermination Telephone Interview Notice (Notice) and forms. The U.S. Pose Office returned the Notice to the Department as undeliverable, even though the Department had mailed the Notice to Claimant's then-current address. I do not find that Claimant failed to cooperate as required by policy, based on the above discussion.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly

Closed Claimant's case.

denied Claimant's application.

] reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \Box AFFIRMED \boxtimes REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT SHALL INITIATE WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER, THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's AMP and FAP cases, effective November 1, 2012, if Claimant is otherwise eligible for the programs.
- 2. Issue FAP supplements, in accordance with Department policy.

Jusa C. Buche

Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 1, 2013

Date Mailed: February 1, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322



