## IN THE MATTER OF:



| Reg. No.: | 2013-14978 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Issue No.: | 2000 |
| Case No.: |  |
| Hearing Date: | April 29, 2013 |
| County: | Wayne (19) |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

## SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 29, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative, Inc. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included , Medical Contact Worker.

## ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:
区 denied Claimant's application for benefits
closed Claimant's case for benefits
$\square$ reduced Claimant's benefits
for:

| $\square$ Family Independence Program (FIP)? | $\square$ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? | $\square$ Child Development and Care (CDC)? |
| $\boxtimes$ Medical Assistance (MA)? | $\square$ State Emergency Services (SER)? |
| $\square$ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? |  |

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On August 22, 2012, the Department:

】 denied Claimant's application for benefits closed Claimant's case for benefits reduced Claimant's benefits
under the following program(s):
$\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ AMP $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC $\square$ SER.
2. On August 22, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:

denial
closure
reduction.
3. On November 9, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action. During the hearing, the parties agreed that the Department would reinstate Claimant's April 26, 2012 MA application and ask the Medical Review Team for assistance in obtaining a psychiatric examination for Claimant.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).
$\boxtimes$ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: reinstate Claimant's April 26, 2012 application and ask the Medical Review Team for assistance in obtaining a psychiatric examination for Claimant.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's April 26, 2012 MA application.
2. Request assistance from the Medical Review Team in obtaining a psychiatric examination for Claimant.


Date Signed: April 30, 2013
Date Mailed: May 1, 2013
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. ( 60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.
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