STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-



Reg. No.: 201314825

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 10, 2013

County: Wayne (41)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

☐ Direct Support Services (DSS).

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 10, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Element (Department) included (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \square deny Claimant's application $oxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:							
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)? 	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)?☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?						
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
 Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for: 							
 ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP) ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). 	 Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA). Child Development and Care (CDC). 						

2.	On September 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to failure to submit a completed redetermination.
3.	On November 28, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Ad	epartment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Iministrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual EM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 40	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Jency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 0.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) ogram effective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 0.3001 through R 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through 400.3180.
an 19	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 90, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. e program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.						
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.57a, et. seq., and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.						
Additionally, FAP benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210 (May 1, 2012), p 2. A redetermination packet is considered complete when all of the sections of the redetermination form including the signature section are completed. BAM 210, p 8. In FAP cases, if the client does not complete the redetermination process, the benefit period will expire. BAM 210, p 2.						
In this case, the Department credibly testified that the redetermination it received from Claimant was unsigned. While there was conflicting testimony at the hearing concerning whether the Department mailed the incomplete redetermination back to Claimant and whether the Department advised Claimant that the redetermination was not signed, because the redetermination the Department received was unsigned, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case for failure to submit a completed redetermination.						
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department						
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 						
for:						
DECISION AND ORDER						
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly.						
Accordingly, the Department's \square AMP \square FIP \boxtimes FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC \square DSS decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.						
Alice C. Elkin Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services						
Date Signed: January 15, 2013						
Date Mailed: January 15, 2013						

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- · misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

cc: