STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No: 201314314

Issue No: 1021, 2001, 3019

Case No:

Hearing Date: January 9, 2013

DHS-SSPC West



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S. Saunders

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 9, 2013. The claimant personally appeared and provided testimony.

ISSUES

- 1. Whether the department properly denied the claimant's application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?
- 2. Whether the department properly denied the claimant's application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?
- 3. Whether the department properly denied the claimant's application for Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The claimant submitted an application for FAP, FIP, and AMP benefits.
- On November 9, 2012, the department sent the claimant a notice of case action (DHS 1605) stating that his application for FIP and AMP had been denied. (Department Exhibits 8-10).
- On November 13, 2012, the department sent the claimant a notice of case action (DHS 1605) stating that his application for FAP benefits had been denied. (Department Exhibits 11-12).

4. On November 26, 2012, the claimant filed a request for hearing protesting the denial of his applications for FIP, FAP, and AMP.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance is denied. MAC R 400.903(1)

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affective eligibility for benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. BAM 600. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) (formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program) is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FAP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3001-3015. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, et seq. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3101-3131. The FIP program replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (115)(a)(1) of the Social Security Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS or department) pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq. Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Regarding the AMP program, the department representative testified that there is currently a freeze on open enrolment for the AMP program. Therefore, as the program is not accepting new applicants, the claimant's application was denied. This Administrative Law Judge determines that the department acted properly in accordance with policy in denying the claimant's application for AMP benefits.

For FIP purposes, in order for an individual to be eligible for FIP benefits, there must be a dependent child who lives with a legal parent, step-parent, or other qualifying

caretaker. BEM 210. In this case, the claimant is not claiming a disability nor is he a caretaker of a minor child. Accordingly, the claimant is not categorically eligible for FIP benefits.

The claimant testified that he is a full time student. In order for an individual in student status to be eligible for FAP, they must meet certain conditions. In relation to student status and the FAP program, policy states as follows:

STUDENT STATUS

FAP Only

A person is in student status if he is:

- Age 18 through 49 and
- Enrolled half-time or more in a:
- Vocational, trade, business, or technical school that normally requires a high school diploma or an equivalency certificate.
- •• Regular curriculum at a college or university that offers degree programs regardless of whether a diploma is required.

In order for a person in student status to be eligible, they must meet one of the following criteria:

- Receiving FIP.
- Enrolled in an institution of higher education as a result of participation in:
- •• A JTPA program.
- •• A program under section 236 of the Trade Readjustment Act of 1974 (U. S. C. 2296).
- Another State or local government employment and training program.
- Physically or mentally unfit for employment.
- Employed for at least 20 hours per week and paid for such employment.
- Self-employed for at least 20 hours per week and earning weekly income at least equivalent to the federal minimum wage multiplied by 20 hours.
- Participating in an on-the-job training program. A person is considered to be participating in an on-the-job training program only during the period of time the person is being trained by the employer.
- Participating in a state or federally-funded work study program (funded in full or in part under Title IV-C of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended) during the regular school year.

To qualify under this provision the student must be approved for work study during the school term and anticipate actually working during that time. The exemption:

- •• Starts the month the school term begins or the month work study is approved, whichever is later.
- •• Continues until the end of the month in which the school term ends, or when you become aware that the student has refused a work-study assignment.

- •• Remains between terms or semesters when the break is less than a full month, or the student is still participating in work study during the break.
- Providing more than half of the physical care of a group member under the age of six.
- Providing more than half of the physical care of a group member age six through eleven and the local office has determined adequate child care is not available to:
- •• Enable the person to attend class and work at least 20 hours per week.
- Participate in a state or federally-financed work study program during the regular school year.
- A single parent enrolled full-time in an institution of higher education who cares for a dependent under age 12. This includes a person who does not live with his or her spouse, who has parental control over a child who does **not** live with his or her natural, adoptive or stepparent.

For the care of a child under age six, consider the student to be providing physical care as long as he or she claims primary responsibility for such care, even though another adult may be in the FAP group. When determining the availability of adequate child care for a child six through 11, another person in the home, over 18, need not be a FAP

group member to provide care. The person remains in student status while attending classes regularly. Student status continues during official school vacations and periods of extended illness. Student status does not continue if the student is suspended or does not intend to register for the next school term (excluding summer term). BEM 245.

In this case, the claimant does not meet any of the exceptions to student status outlined in policy. Therefore, the department acted properly in accordance with policy in denying the claimant's FAP application due to student status.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the department did properly deny the claimant's application for FAP, FIP, and AMP benefits.

Accordingly, the department's actions are **AFFIRMED**.

It is SO ORDERED.

.

<u>/s/</u>

Christopher S. Saunders Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 29, 2013

Date Mailed: January 29, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CSS/cr

CC:

