# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

# IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.: 2013-14287 Issue No.: 1015; 3002 Case No.:

Hearing Date:

County:

February 4, 2013 WAYNE (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susan C. Burke

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 4, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included February, FIS.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly ☐ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ calculate Claimant's benefits for:				
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li></ul>	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:				
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)</li> </ul>	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA). ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)			

	On December 1, 2012, the Department			
3.	On November 17, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure. Calculation.			
4.	On November 28, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☒ calculation.			
5.	<ol> <li>At the hearing, the Department did not present evidence as to the income Claimant received, and Claimant stated that she did not receive income as stated by the Department.</li> </ol>			
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).			
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.				
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.				
In the present case, the Department calculated Claimant's FIP and FAP benefits, effective December 1, 2012. At the hearing, the Department did not present evidence as to the income Claimant received, and Claimant stated that she did not receive income as stated by the Department. Without proof of how the Department calculated Claimant's benefits, it cannot be concluded that the Department was correct in its calculation.				
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
	properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case			

properly calculated Claimant's benefits improperly c	alculated Claimant's benefits			
for:				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Find of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.	•			
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ FIP $\boxtimes$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\square$ AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.				
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:				
<ol> <li>Initiate recalculation of Claimant's FIP and FAP be 2012.</li> </ol>	nefits, effective December 1,			
<ol><li>Issue FIP and FAP supplements for any missed accordance with Department policy.</li></ol>	or increased payments, in  Mac (: Bruth  Susan C. Burke			
	Susan C. Burke Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director epartment of Human Services			

Date Mailed: February 7, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
   typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### SCB/tm

