# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Red No. 2013-13975

			ER	
	_			

	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2006  June 4, 2013 Oakland-03 County DHS					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt							
HEARING DECISION							
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on June 4, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included							
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close 0 benefits for:		lid the Department I reduce Claimant's					
	•	ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	ial, and substantia I ls as material fact:					
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐F	IP □FAP ⊠MA [	□SDA □CDC.					
2. Cla imant ⊠ was □ was not provided with a Ve	erification Checkli	st.					
3. Claimant was required to submit requested veri	fication by Octob	er 25, 2012.					

4. On October 24, 2012 and October 25, 20 12, the Claimant's gr andson called the Claimant's case wor ker several times and left messages in quiring about the verification checklist and asking for assistance in fulfilling the Department's request. At no point in time did the Department return the phone calls or assist the Claimant in obtaining the requested information.

5.	On October 30, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
6.	On October 30, 2012, the Department sent notice of the   ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
7.	On November 9, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial.   closure.   reduction.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of necessary forms. Client's must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews.

The client might be unable to answer a question about himself or another person whose circumstances must be known. Allow the c lient at least 10 days (or other timeframe specified in policy) to obtain the needed information.

Local offices must assist c lients who need and request help to complete applic ations, forms and obtain verifications. BAM 130.

In this cas e, inquiries were m ade as to what was requested and ass istance was requested prior to the verifications being due. At no point in time were those inquiries or requests for assistance followed up on.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, I find the Department im properly denied the Claimant's application as they should have assisted the Claimant in obtaining the requested verifications.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

I find, bas ed upon the above Findings of Fa ct and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, that the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligib ility for MA benefits beginning October 10, 2012 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Corey A. Arendt
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 5, 2013

Date Mailed: June 5, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## 2013-13975/CAA

## CAA/las

