STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:	2013-13951
Issue No:	2026
Case No:	
Hearing Date:	April 10, 2013
Roscommon County DHS	

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before me pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 10, 2013. Participants on behalf of Claiman t included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control** Participants on Behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included **Control**

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly applied a January 23, 2012 medical bill that wa submitted on October 19, 2012 to future deduc tibles rather than applying it to the January 23, 2012 deductable?

FINDINGS OF FACT

I find as material fact, based upon the com petent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record:

- 1. At all times pertinent to this hear ing, the Cla imant had Medical Assistance (MA) coverage.
- 2. On or January of 2012, the Claimant underwent a medical procedure.
- 3. On or around October 19, 2012, the Claimant received a bill from the January 2012 medical procedure.
- 4. On October 19, 2012, the Claimant submitted the medical bill to the Department.
- 5. On October 19, 2012, the Department sent the Claimant a notice of case action. The notice indicated the D epartment was considering the Januar y 2012 bill as an "old bill" and applying it to future MA deductable amounts.
- 6. On November 1, 2012, the Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the medical bill and how it was applied.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The client has the right to request a hearing for any action, failure to act or undue de lay by the department. BAM 105. The department provides an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine its appropriateness. BAM 600.

The regulations that gov ern the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are contained in the Michigan Administrative Code (Mich Admin Code) Rules 400.901 through 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to a recipient who is a ggrieved by an agency action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance. Mich Admin Code 400.903(1).

The MA program was established by Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of t he Code of F ederal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MC L 400.105. Department policies for the MA programs are contained in t he Bridges Administrativ e Manual (BAM), the Bridges E ligibility Manual (BEM), the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Periods of MA coverage are added each time the group meets its deductible. BEM 545. Each calendar month is a separate deductible period. BEM 545. The first deductible period:

- Cannot be earlier than the processing month for applicants.
- Is the month following the month for which MA coverage is authorized for recipients. BEM 545.

According to policy, the fiscal group's mont hly exces s income is called a deductible amount. BEM 545. Meeting a deductible means reporting and veri fying allowable medical expenses that equal or exceed the deductible amount for the calendar month tested. BEM 545.

The group must report expenses by the last day of the third month follow ing the month in w hich the group w ants MA coverage. BEM 545. Department policy BAM 130 explains verification and timeliness standards. BEM 545.

Here, there is no dispute as to w hen the medical bill were submitted to the Department (October 19, 2012). This in and of itself m eans the Department properly applied the bill for future MA determinations as required by BEM 545.

That being said, when I reviewed the bill, I found some troubling information on the bill itself. Dur ing the hearing, the Claimant indicated he di d not receive the bill until approximately October 19, 2012. The bill however is marked March 5, 2012 for services that were rendered in January and February of 2012. I am confused as to why the billing date reads March 5, 2012 as opposed to a date in October of 2012.

2013-13951/CAA

However, because the bill was not submitted to the Department until October 19, 2012, the Depart ment acted according to policy in deductable considerations. Accordingly, I affirm the Department's actions in this matter.

DECISION AND ORDER

I find, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law that the Department acted in accordance with policy in applying the old medical bill.

The Department's actions are AFFIRMED.

. C.C.t

Corey A. Arendt Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 11, 2013

Date Mailed: April 11, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.



CAA/las