STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013 13873 3008,2006 February 6, 2013 Wayne (17)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris					
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Administ rate and MCL 400.37 following Claim—ant's request for telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 6, 2013 on behalf of Claimant inclu ded the Claimant. Part of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor.	or a hearing. Afte , from Detroi t, Mic	r due notice, a chigan. Participant s f <u>of the Department</u>			
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Due to a failure to comply with the ve rification requirements, did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐		ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the evidence on the whole record, including testimony	•	-			
1. Cla imant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐F	TIP ⊠FAP ⊠MA [□SDA □CDC.			
2. Claimant was required to submit requested ver	ification by 10/11/	12.			

3.	On 11/1/12, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
4.	On 11/16/12, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
5.	On 11/21/12, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ⊠ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges igibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FI P replaced the Aid to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective ctober 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is uplemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 10.3001-3015
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 00.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of
1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996
The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98
and 99. The Department provides servicies to adult sand children pursuant to MCL
400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, at the hearing the Department indicated that the Claimant's application was denied due to failure to provide verification on of information. Only one verification checklist was contained in the case file and that checklist, dat ed 10/1/12, requested verification of unearned income and an issue regarding non-cooperation with the Office of Child Support. The Department stipulated at the hearing that the closure due to non-cooperation with the OCS was not correcet, and agreed that the Claimant produced evidence as of 10/1/12 that she was in cooperation.

The Claimant credibly testified that she pr ovided her case worker information regarding her husband's income (pay stubs for September) at the time she filed her application for benefits. She also indicated that she advis ed her worker at the time of application that she received unearned income fr om her brother to he lp her and that she pr ovided her case worker a letter from her brother indic ating the amount of assistance he provided. No other unearned income was received by the group and thus the Claimant satisfied this requirement also.

Based upon the record pres ented the Department did not sustain its burden to demonstrate that the Claimant's applic ation for FAP and medical ass istance were properly denied. As no notice of case action was available it was difficult to determine what action was taken on Novem ber 16, 2012. The claimant also credibly testified that on October 1, 2012 she also applied for medical assistance e and nev er received notification regarding the application. The Department could not say whether the application for medical assistance was denied or whether if was processed. Therefore it is determined, based upon the evidence presented, that the Claimant's application for Medical Assistance was not processed.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☐ properly ☐ improperly
☐ closed Claimant's case. ☑ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusio of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly ☐ did not act properly.	ns
Accordingly, the Depar tment's decision is $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS (THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:	ЭF
1. The Depar tment shall init late r e-registration and rein state the Claimant's FA application dated 10/1/2012 and determine eligibility for benefits. If the Department should require any further verification of income the Department shall se and the Claimant a verification check list requesting any additional information which requires in accordance with department policy to process the application and determine benefits.	ent ne it
2. The Department shall iss ue a FAP supplement to the Cla imant for FAP benefits, any, she is otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.	if
3. The Depar tment shall initiate re-regi stration and reinstate the Claimant's 10/1/1 application for medic al assistance and shall process the application and determine ligibility. The Depart ment shall send the Claimant a verification checklist, if one is required, in order to process the application requesting any additional information which it requires in accordance with department policy.	ne s
Lynn M. Ferri Administrative Law Judg for Maura Corrigan, Direct Department of Human Service	ge tor

Date Signed: February 13, 2013

Date Mailed: February 13, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

